

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
Department of Statistics
Chapel Hill, N. C.

Mathematical Sciences Directorate
Air Force Office of Scientific Research
Washington 25, D. C.

AFOSR Report No. *TN* 601019
TN - 591241

ON SOME METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION OF PARTIALLY BALANCED ARRAYS

by

I. M. Chakravarti

May, 1960

Contract No. 49-(638)-213

Methods of construction of partially balanced arrays are considered in this report. Two methods of construction are given. One of them derives partially balanced arrays from $(\lambda - \mu - \nu)$ configurations and the other is an extension of Bose-Shrikhande method of construction of orthogonal arrays.

Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report from the ASTIA Document Service Center, Arlington Hall Station, Arlington 12, Virginia. Department of Defense contractors must be established for ASTIA services, or have their "need-to-know" certified by the cognizant military agency of their project or contract.

Institute of Statistics
Mimeograph Series No. 260

ON SOME METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION OF PARTIALLY BALANCED ARRAYS*

by

I. M. Chakravarti

University of North Carolina

0. Introduction

Suppose $A = (a_{ij})$ is a matrix $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and the elements a_{ij} of the matrix are symbols $0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1$. Consider the s^t matrices $X^1 = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ that can be formed by giving different values to x_i , $s, x_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1; i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Suppose associated with each matrix X there is a positive integer $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ which is invariant under permutations of (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) . If for every t -rowed submatrix of A , the s^t matrices X occur as columns $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ times, then the matrix A is called a partially balanced array of strength t in N assemblies, m constraints (or factors), s symbols (or levels) and the specified $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t)$ parameters. When $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) = \lambda$ for all (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t) , the array is called an Orthogonal array.

Orthogonal arrays were defined in ([4], [5]) and construction of orthogonal arrays were considered in ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5]). Partially balanced arrays were defined in [6] where their use as multifactorial designs is also discussed.

*This research was supported in part by the United States Air Force through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and Development Command, under Contract No. AF49(638)-213. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

In this paper, some methods of construction of partially balanced arrays are considered. One of the methods is applicable when $s = 2$ and derives partially balanced arrays from the well-known $(\lambda - \mu - \nu)$ configurations. The other method is an extension of Bose-Shrikhande [2] method of construction of orthogonal arrays.

1. An example: An example of a partially balanced array of strength 2, $s = 3$, $m = 6$ constraints in $N = 15$ assemblies is given below

Partially balanced array (15, 6, 3, 2)

	assemblies														
constraints	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
2	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	2	1	1
3	1	0	2	2	1	0	2	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	1
4	1	1	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	0
5	2	2	1	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
6	2	1	2	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	0

This array has the $\lambda(x_1, x_2)$ parameters

$$\lambda(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x_1 \text{ and } x_2 \text{ are unlike} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This array was constructed by cutting out 3 assemblies and omitting one row from the orthogonal array A (18, 7, 3, 2)

2. Construction of partially balanced arrays for $s = 2$ from $\lambda - \mu - \nu$ configurations.

Definition: A $\lambda - \mu - \nu$ configuration, of m elements is defined [7] as the configuration of m elements taken ν at a time so that each set of μ elements shall occur together in just λ of the sets.

Suppose there are N_0 sets of ν elements each in the configuration. Let N_t denote the number of sets each containing a fixed subset of t elements. Then it is easily seen that

$$(2.1) \quad N_t = \lambda \binom{m-t}{\mu-t} / \binom{\nu-t}{\mu-t} \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \mu$$

Consider the matrix $A = ((a_{ij}))$ of form $(m \times N_0)$ derived from a $\lambda - \mu - \nu$ configuration of m elements in N_0 sets in the following manner: Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ denote the m elements and s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{N_0} denote the N_0 sets of the configuration. Then let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= 1 && \text{if } \alpha_i \text{ occurs in the set } s_j \\ &= 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Consider a μ -rowed submatrix of A with elements a_{ij} as defined above. Amongst the N_0 columns of the submatrix, a column matrix $X_{\mu,1}$ where its transpose $X_{1,\mu}^t = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu)$, $x_i = 0$ or 1 $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$ occurs λ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_μ) times. Specifically, let $x_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$; $x_i = 0$ for $i = r+1, \dots, \mu$ in X . Then it is easy to show that for such an X

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu) &= N_r - \binom{\mu-r}{1} N_{r+1} + \binom{\mu-r}{2} N_{r+2} - \dots \\ &= (-1)^{\mu-r} \Delta^{\mu-r} N_r \end{aligned}$$

where Δ stands for the symbol of finite difference, viz.,

$$\Delta N_r = N_{r+1} - N_r .$$

Value of $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu)$ depends only on the count r of unities in its argument and hence it is invariant under permutation of its arguments.

Now provided $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu) > 0$ for all s^μ sets of X , we have
Theorem 2:1 : The existence of a $(\lambda - \mu - \nu)$ of m elements with $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu)$ all positive, implies the existence of a partially balanced array of strength μ with parameters $s = 2$ and $\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\mu)$ as defined in (2.2).

Well-known examples of $\lambda - \mu - \nu$ configurations are the triple systems, quadruple systems, etc., which are defined in [7].

3. An extension of Bose-Shrikhande method of construction of orthogonal arrays and its use in the construction of partially balanced arrays.

Definition: A pairwise partially balanced design with parameters

$(v, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$

is defined as an arrangement of v varieties in blocks of m different sizes k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m , there being b_i blocks of size k_i ,

$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i = b$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) No block contains a single variety more than once.
- (ii) With respect to any variety, the remaining $(v-1)$ varieties fall

into t categories, there being n_i varieties in the i^{th} category,

called the i^{th} associates of the variety;
$$\sum_{i=1}^t n_i = v-1$$

(iii) Two varieties which are i^{th} associates, occur together in λ_i blocks, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

Then the following relations among the parameters hold,

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m b_i k_i (k_i - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i v \lambda_i = v \sum_{i=1}^t n_i \lambda_i$$

Suppose there exist the orthogonal arrays

$$A_i (\lambda k_i^2, q_i, k_i, 2) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

of strength two and index λ and in k_i symbols. Consider the pair-wise partially balanced design defined earlier. There are b_i blocks each of size k_i . These b_i blocks provide b_i sets of k_i symbols each. Using each set of k_i symbols once in the orthogonal array A_i , one gets b_i such orthogonal arrays. If all such orthogonal arrays are arranged side by side, then one gets a matrix A with number of columns

$$N = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m b_i k_i^2 \quad \text{and number of rows } q = \min(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m). \quad \text{In any}$$

two-rowed submatrix of matrix A , every ordered pair $\begin{pmatrix} t_u \\ t_v \end{pmatrix}$ of two

distinct symbols of varieties which are i^{th} associates will occur $\lambda \lambda_i$

times and every ordered pair $\begin{pmatrix} t_j \\ t_j \end{pmatrix}$ of two like symbols occur λr_j times,

if the variety t_j occurs in r_j blocks of the pairwise partially balanced design. Hence the

Theorem 3.1: The existence of a pairwise partially balanced design with parameters $(v; k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t)$ and of the orthogonal arrays $A_i(\lambda k_i^2, q_i, k_i, 2)$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, imply the existence of the partially balanced array

of strength two in v symbols and $q = \min(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m)$ constraints

and $\lambda(x_1, x_2) = \lambda \lambda_i$ where x_1, x_2 stand for two varieties which are

i th associates and $\lambda(x, x) = \lambda r_j$ where the variety x occurs r_j times

in the pairwise partially balanced design.

As an illustration, a partially balanced array which has been constructed using the method described above, is given below. This is a partially balanced array in $v = 6$ symbols, $N = 48$ assemblies $m = 5$ constraints and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x_1, x_2) &= 2 \quad \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \text{ are first associates} \\ &= 1 \quad \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \text{ are second associates} \\ &= 2 \quad \text{if } x_1 \text{ and } x_2 \text{ are like} \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ are the variety symbols.

In constructing this array, the partially balanced design

$(v = 6, r = 2, b = 3, k = 4, n_1 = 1, n_2 = 4, \lambda_1 = 2, \lambda_2 = 1)$ in

three blocks

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{x_1, x_4, x_2, x_5} \\ \underline{x_2, x_5, x_3, x_6} \\ \underline{x_3, x_6, x_1, x_4} \end{array}$$

and the orthogonal array A $(16, 5, 4, 2)$ have been used.

Orthogonal Array A $(16, 5, 4, 2)$

R	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	t	t	t	t	t ²	t ²	t ²	t ²
G	0	1	t	t ²	0	1	t	t ²	0	1	t	t ²	0	1	t	t ²
L ₁	0	1	t	t ²	1	0	t ²	t	t	t ²	0	1	t ²	t	1	0
L ₂	0	1	t	t ²	t	t ²	0	1	t ²	t	1	0	1	0	t ²	t
L ₃	0	1	t	t ²	t ²	t	1	0	1	0	t ²	t	t	t ²	0	1

Making successively the identifications

(1)	(2)	(3)
1 = x ₁	1 = x ₂	1 = x ₁
t = x ₂	t = x ₃	t = x ₃
t ² = x ₄	t ² = x ₅	t ² = x ₄
0 = x ₅	0 = x ₆	0 = x ₆

and using them on the above array in place of $(0, 1, t, t^2)$ one gets

three arrays - say A_1, A_2, A_3 . Then the array $A_0 = [A_1 \ A_2 \ A_3]$.

is the desired partially balanced array in 6 symbols and 48 assemblies.

Let A^* denote the array derived from A by truncating the first row and the first four columns (as indicated by the horizontal and vertical lines). Then the arrays A_1^*, A_2^* and A_3^* are obtained from A^* using the three identifications of variety -symbols given above. Let E denote the array

$$E : \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & \dots & x_6 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & \dots & x_6 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & \dots & x_6 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & \dots & x_6 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & \dots & x_6 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & \dots & x_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the array $A_0^* = [E \ A_1^* \ A_2^* \ A_3^*]$ is a partially balanced

array in $v = 6$ symbols, $N = 42$ assemblies, $m = 4$ constraints and

$\lambda(x_i, x_j) = 1$, $\lambda(x_i, x_j) = 2$ if x_i and x_j are first associates and

$\lambda(x_i, x_j) = 1$ if they are second associates.

4. Acknowledgement: Thanks are due to Professor R. C. Bose for kindly going through the manuscript and for his helpful comments.

- [1] Bose, R. C. and Bush, K. A. (1952): Orthogonal arrays of strength two and three. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 23, 508.
- [2] Bose, R. C. and Shrikhande, S. S. (1960): On the composition of balanced incomplete block designs. *Canad. J. Math.* Vol 12 (2), 177.
- [3] Bush, K. A. (1952) : Orthogonal arrays of index unity. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, 23, 426.
- [4] Rao, C. R. (1946) : On hypercubes of strength d and a system of confounding in factorial experiments. *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 38, 67.
- [5] Rao, C. R. (1947) : Factorial experiments derivable from combinatorial arrangements of arrays. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. (Suppl)* 9, 128.
- [6] Chakravarti, I. M. (1956) : Fractional replication in asymmetrical factorial designs and partially balanced arrays. *Sankhya* 17, 143.
- [7] Carmichael, R. D. (1937) Introduction to the Theory of Groups of Finite Order, Dover Publications, Inc.