

INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS  
BOX 5457  
STATE COLLEGE STATION  
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Department of Statistics

Chapel Hill, N. C.

DISTRIBUTION OF THE LARGEST OR THE SMALLEST CHARACTERISTIC ROOT  
UNDER NULL HYPOTHESIS CONCERNING COMPLEX MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATIONS

by

C. G. Khatri

April 1964

Contract No. AF-AFOSR-84-63

This research was supported by the Mathematics Division of the Air  
Force Office of Scientific Research.

Institute of Statistics  
Mimeo Series No. 385

DISTRIBUTION OF THE LARGEST OR THE SMALLEST CHARACTERISTIC ROOT  
 UNDER NULL HYPOTHESIS CONCERNING COMPLEX MULTIVARIATE NORMAL POPULATIONS

by

C. G. Khatri

University of North Carolina and Gujarat University

1. Introduction:

It has been pointed out by the author [1] that one can handle all the classical problems of point estimation and testing hypothesis concerning the parameters of complex multivariate normal populations in a similar manner as one handles those for multivariate normal populations in real variates. In [1, 2], the author has derived an asymptotic formulae for certain likelihood test-procedures and in [2], the author has mentioned the maximum characteristic root statistic for testing the reality of a covariance matrix. The distribution of the characteristic roots under null hypothesis established in those two papers can be written in a general form as

$$(1) \quad c_1 \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^q \omega_j^m (1-\omega_j)^n \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^q (\omega_j - \omega_k)^2 \right\} d\omega_1 \dots d\omega_q$$

where  $c_1 = \prod_{i=1}^q \Gamma(n + m + q + j) / \{ \Gamma(n+j) \Gamma(m+j) \Gamma(j) \}$  and

$$0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \dots \leq \omega_q \leq 1.$$

We may also note that when  $n$  is large, the joint distribution of  $n\omega_j = f_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ),  $0 \leq f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_q < \infty$ , can be written as

$$(2) \quad c_2 \left( \prod_{j=1}^q f_j^m \right) \exp\left( - \sum_{j=1}^q f_j \right) \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^q (f_j - f_k)^2 \right\} df_1 \dots df_q$$

where  $c_2 = 1 / \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^q [\Gamma(m+j) \Gamma(j)] \right\}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by the Mathematics Division of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

In this paper, we derive the distribution of  $\omega_q$  (or  $f_q$ ) and  $\omega_1$  (or  $f_1$ ). The percentage points will be given and some applications will be discussed in another paper.

2. Distribution of  $\omega_q$  or  $\omega_1$ .

For the distribution of  $\omega_q$ , we shall require the following two lemmas:

Lemma 1:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ x_j^{m'_j} (1-x_j)^{n'_j} dx_j \right] = \prod_{j=1}^s \left[ \int_0^x x_j^{m_j} (1-x_j)^{n_j} dx_j \right]$$

where  $\mathcal{D} : (0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_s \leq x), (x \leq 1)$ ; and on the left hand side  $(m'_s, n'_s), \dots, (m'_1, n'_1)$  is any permutation of  $(m_s, n_s), \dots, (m_1, n_1)$  and the summation is taken over all such permutations.

For proof, one may refer to Roy [3, (A.9.3), p. 203].

Lemma 2:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^q (\omega_j - \omega_k)^2 = \sum \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{j_1}^{2q-2} & \omega_{j_2}^{2q-3} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^{q-1} \\ \omega_{j_1}^{2q-3} & \omega_{j_2}^{2q-4} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^{q-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{j_1}^{q-1} & \omega_{j_2}^{q-2} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^0 \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $\sum$  means the summation over  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q)$ , the permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, q)$ , and  $|A|$  means the determinant of  $A$ .

Proof: It is well known that a Vandermonde determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \omega_1^{q-1} & \omega_2^{q-1} & \dots & \omega_q^{q-1} \\ \omega_1^{q-2} & \omega_2^{q-2} & \dots & \omega_q^{q-2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \dots & \omega_q \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}^2 = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^q (\omega_j - \omega_k) \right]^2 = \alpha, \text{ (say).}$$

Then, the above expression can be written as

$$\alpha = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{2q-2} & \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{2q-3} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{q-1} \\ \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{2q-3} & \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{2q-4} & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{q-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{q-1} & \sum_{j=1}^q \omega_j^{q-2} & \dots & q \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q} \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{j_1}^{2q-2} & \omega_{j_2}^{2q-3} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^{q-1} \\ \omega_{j_1}^{2q-3} & \omega_{j_2}^{2q-4} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^{q-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \omega_{j_1}^{q-1} & \omega_{j_2}^{q-2} & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

If in the right hand side, any two  $j_i$  <sup>and</sup>  $j_t$  are equal, then the value of the determinant is zero. Hence the summation over the right hand side over  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q)$  reduces to the permutations of  $(1, 2, \dots, q)$ , which establishes the lemma 2.

Now we shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1: If the joint distribution of  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_q$  is given by (1), then

$$(3) \quad \Pr(\omega_q \leq x) = c_1 \begin{vmatrix} \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_q \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \beta_{q-1} & \beta_q & \dots & \beta_{2q-2} \end{vmatrix} = c_1 |(\beta_{i+j-2})|$$

where  $c_1$  is defined in (2),  $\beta_{i+j-2} = \int_0^x \omega^{m+i+j-2}(1-\omega)^n d\omega$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, q$  and  $(\beta_{i+j-2})$  is a  $q \times q$  matrix.

Proof: By definition, we have

$$\Pr(\omega_q \leq x) = \Pr(0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \dots \leq \omega_q \leq x)$$

$$= c_1 \int_D \prod_{j=1}^m [\omega_j^m (1-\omega_j)^n] \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{q-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^q (\omega_j - \omega_k)^2 \right] \prod_{j=1}^q d\omega_j,$$

where  $D: (0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \dots \leq \omega_q \leq x, x \leq 1)$ .

Using lemma 2, the above expression can be written as

$$(4) \Pr(\omega_q \leq x) = c_1 \sum \int_D \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{j_1}^{2q-2} & \omega_{j_2}^{2q-3} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^{q-1} \\ \omega_{j_1}^{2q-3} & \omega_{j_2}^{2q-4} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^{q-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \omega_{j_1}^{q-1} & \omega_{j_2}^{q-2} & \dots & \omega_{j_q}^0 \end{vmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^q [\omega_j^m (1-\omega_j)^n d\omega_j],$$

where  $\sum$  means the summation over  $(j_1, \dots, j_q)$ , the permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, q)$ . Now the determinant in the integral sign of (4), can be written as

$$\sum_1 \text{sign}(t_1, \dots, t_q) \omega_{j_1}^{q-1+t_1} \omega_{j_2}^{q-2+t_2} \dots \omega_{j_q}^{t_q}$$

where  $(t_1, \dots, t_q)$  is a permutation of  $(0, 1, \dots, q-1)$ ,  $\text{sign}(t_1, \dots, t_q)$  is positive if the permutation is even and negative if the permutation is odd, and  $\sum_1$  means the summation over all such permutations. Then (4) becomes

$$\Pr(\omega_q \leq x) = c_1 \sum \sum_1 \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \text{sign}(t_1, \dots, t_q) (\omega_{j_1}^{q-1+t_1} \dots \omega_{j_q}^{t_q}) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q \left[ \omega_j^m (1-\omega_j)^n d\omega_j \right].$$

First taking summation over  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q)$ , the permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, q)$  and applying the lemma 1, we get

$$\Pr(\omega_q \leq x) = c_1 \sum_1 \text{sign}(t_1, \dots, t_q) \beta_{q-1+t_1} \beta_{q-2+t_2} \dots \beta_{t_q} = c_1 |(\beta_{i+j-2})|$$

which proves the theorem 1.

It may be noted here that

$$\Pr(\omega_1 \leq x) = 1 - \Pr(\omega_1 \geq x) = 1 - \Pr(x \leq \omega_1 \leq \dots \leq \omega_q \leq 1).$$

Going back to the c.d.f. of  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q)$  and using the transformation

$\omega_j = 1 - z_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ), we have

$$(5) \Pr(\omega_1 \leq x) = 1 = \Pr(x \leq \omega_1 \leq \dots \leq \omega_q \leq 1) = 1 - c_1 |(\delta_{i+j-2})|$$

where  $\delta_{i+j-2} = \int_0^{1-x} z^{n+i+j-2} (1-z)^m dz$  and  $(\delta_{i+j-2})$  is a  $q \times q$  matrix.

Theorem 2: If the distribution of  $f_1, \dots, f_q$  is given by (2) then

$$(6) \Pr(f_q \leq x) = c_2 |(\gamma_{i+j-2})|$$

where  $\gamma_{i+j-2} = \int_0^x \omega^{m+i+j-2} \exp(-\omega) d\omega$ ,  $(\gamma_{i+j-2})$  is a  $q \times q$  matrix and  $c_2$  is defined in (2).

Proof is similar to that of theorem 1.

3. The author thanks Professor S. N. Roy for discussion and help.

REFERENCES

- [1] Khatri, C. G., "Classical statistical analysis based on a certain multivariate Gaussian distribution". (Sent for publication).
- [2] Khatri, C. G., "A test for reality of a covariance matrix in a certain complex Gaussian distribution". (Sent for publication).
- [3] Roy, S. N. (1958). Some Aspects of Multivariate Analysis. John Wiley and Sons, Inc.