

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA

Department of Statistics

Chapel Hill, N. C.

A NOTE ON THE INEQUALITY OF THE CHARACTERISTIC ROOTS OF THE PRODUCT  
AND THE SUM OF TWO SYMMETRIC MATRICES

by

C. G. Khatri

April 1964

Contract No. AF-AFOSR-84-63

This research was supported by the Mathematics Division of  
the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

Institute of Statistics  
Mimeo Series No. 387

A NOTE ON THE INEQUALITY OF THE CHARACTERISTIC ROOTS OF THE PRODUCT  
AND THE SUM OF TWO SYMMETRIC MATRICES<sup>1</sup>

by

C. G. Khatri

University of North Carolina and Gujarat University

=====

By a symmetric (sy.) matrix, we shall mean here a symmetric matrix with real elements only. We establish the bounds on the  $i$ -th maximum characteristic (ch.) root (denoted by  $ch_{i\sim}$ ) of (i)  $\underline{A}\underline{B}$  where  $\underline{A}$  is any sy. matrix and  $\underline{B}$  is any sy. positive semi-definite (p.s.d) matrix, and (ii) of  $\underline{A} + \underline{B}$  where  $\underline{A}$  and  $\underline{B}$  are both symmetric matrices. Anderson and Gupta [1], using somewhat different methods, established the bounds for the case (i) when  $\underline{A}$  was sy. p.s.d. and  $\underline{B}$  was sy. p.d. All the results established here are valid for hermitian matrices (with possibly complex elements) too.

We require the following three lemmas, which are given by Bellman [2].

Lemma 1: Let  $\underline{A}$  be any sy. matrix of order  $p$  and let  $\underline{x}, \underline{\alpha}_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ ) be column vectors with  $p$  elements, real and finite.

Then

$$ch_{i\sim} \underline{A} = \underset{j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1}{\text{minimum}} \frac{\underline{\alpha}_j}{\underline{\alpha}_j' \underline{\alpha}_j = 0} \quad \text{maximum} \left( \frac{\underline{x}' \underline{A} \underline{x}}{\underline{x}' \underline{x}} \right)$$

Note that we replace the condition of  $\underline{\alpha}_j' \underline{\alpha}_j = 1$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ ) given by Bellman [2] for Courant-Fischer min-max. theorem by any  $\underline{\alpha}_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ ) having real and finite elements. It is easy to see that by doing this, we are not changing the value of  $ch_{i\sim} \underline{A}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by the Mathematics Division of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

Lemma 2: Let  $\underline{A}_r = (a_{ij})$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, p$  be a sequence of sy. matrices. Then

$$\text{ch}_{k+1}(\underline{A}_{r+1}) \leq \text{ch}_k(\underline{A}_r) \leq \text{ch}_k(\underline{A}_{r+1}).$$

Lemma 3: Let  $\underline{A}$  and  $\underline{B}$  be two matrices of respective order  $p \times n$  and  $n \times p$  with  $n \geq p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_i \underline{AB} &= \text{ch}_i \underline{BA} && \text{for all positive ch. roots and} \\ \text{ch}_i \underline{AB} &= \text{ch}_{n-p+i} \underline{BA} && \text{for all negative ch. roots.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1: Let  $\underline{A}$  be a sy. matrix of order  $p$  and  $\underline{B}$ , a sy. matrix of order  $p$ , be p.s.d. of rank  $r (\leq p)$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  denote respectively the number of positive and the number of negative ch. roots of  $\underline{AB}$ . Then for any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, a$

$$\text{ch}_j \underline{B} \text{ch}_{p+i-j} \underline{A} \leq \text{ch}_i \underline{AB} \leq \text{ch}_j \underline{B} \text{ch}_{i-j+1} \underline{A}$$

and for  $i = p - b + 1, p - b + 2, \dots, p$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ,

$$\text{ch}_j \underline{B} \text{ch}_{r+i-j} \underline{A} \leq \text{ch}_i \underline{AB} \leq \text{ch}_j \underline{B} \text{ch}_{r+i-j-(p-1)} \underline{A}.$$

Proof: Since  $\underline{B}$  is sy. p.s.d., we can find an orthogonal matrix  $\underline{\Delta}$  such that  $\underline{B} = \underline{\Delta} \underline{D}_1 \underline{\Delta}'$ , where  $\underline{D}_1 = \text{diagonal}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\underline{D}_\omega = \text{diag.}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$  and  $\omega_1 \geq \omega_2 \geq \dots \geq \omega_r > 0$  are the nonzero ch. roots of  $\underline{B}$ . Let  $\underline{S}$  be a sub-matrix of order  $r$  of  $(\underline{\Delta} \underline{A} \underline{\Delta}')$ , obtained by deleting the last  $(p-r)$  rows and  $(p-r)$  columns of  $\underline{\Delta} \underline{A} \underline{\Delta}'$ . Then by lemma 3, it is easy to see that

$$(1) \quad \text{ch}_i \underline{AB} = \text{ch}_i \underline{SD}_\omega = \text{ch}_i (\underline{D}_\omega \underline{S} \underline{D}_\omega) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, a \text{ and}$$

$$(2) \quad \text{ch}_{p-r+i} \underline{AB} = \text{ch}_i (\underline{SD}_\omega) = \text{ch}_i (\underline{D}_\omega \underline{S} \underline{D}_\omega) \quad \text{for } i=r-b+1, r-b+2, \dots, r,$$

where  $(\underline{D}_\omega)^2 = \underline{D}_\omega$ . Then by lemma 1,

$$(3) \quad \text{ch}_i(\text{SD}_{\sim\omega}) = \underset{\substack{\underline{\alpha}_h \\ h = 1, 2, \dots, i-1}}{\text{minimum}} \quad \underset{\substack{\underline{x}' \underline{\alpha}_h = 0}}{\text{maximum}} \left( \frac{\underline{x}' (\underline{D}_{\sim\omega} \underline{S} \underline{D}_{\sim\omega}) \underline{x}}{\underline{x}' \underline{x}} \right)$$

where  $\underline{x}$  and  $\underline{\alpha}_h$  ( $h = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ ) are column vectors with  $r$  elements, real and finite. Now minimize over a sub-space given by

$\underline{\alpha}_{i-j+h} = (0, \dots, 0, c_h, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $c_h$  is nonzero finite and real at the  $h$ -th place, for  $h = 1, 2, \dots, j-1$ , and  $\underline{\alpha}_h = (0, \dots, 0, \underline{\alpha}_{j,h}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_{r,h})$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots, i-j$ . Then  $\underline{x}' \underline{\alpha}_{i-j+h} = 0$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots, j-1$  give  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{j-1} = 0$ . Now let us write  $\underline{y}' = (\sqrt{\omega_j} x_j, \dots, \sqrt{\omega_r} x_r)$ ,  $\underline{\delta}_h = (\omega_j^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\alpha}_{j,h}, \dots, \omega_r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{\alpha}_{r,h})$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots, i-j$ ,  $\underline{S}_1$  be a sub-matrix of  $\underline{S}$ , obtained by deleting the first  $(j-1)$  rows and  $(j-1)$  columns of  $\underline{S}$ , and  $\underline{D}_2 = \text{diag.} (\omega_j, \omega_{j+1}, \dots, \omega_r)$ . Note that the elements of  $\underline{y}$  and  $\underline{\delta}_h$  ( $h = 1, 2, \dots, i-j$ ) are finite and real, and the minimum over a sub-set must always be greater than or equal to the minimum over the larger set.

Hence (3) gives

$$(4) \quad \text{ch}_i(\text{SD}_{\sim\omega}) \leq \underset{\substack{\underline{\delta}_h \\ h = 1, 2, \dots, i-j}}{\text{minimum}} \quad \underset{\substack{\underline{y}' \underline{\delta}_h = 0}}{\text{maximum}} \left( \frac{\underline{y}' \underline{S}_1 \underline{y}}{\underline{y}' \underline{D}_2^{-1} \underline{y}} \right).$$

Since  $\underline{y}' \underline{D}_2^{-1} \underline{y} \geq \omega_j^{-1} \underline{y}' \underline{y}$  or  $(\underline{y}' \underline{D}_2^{-1} \underline{y})^{-1} \leq \omega_j (\underline{y}' \underline{y})^{-1}$ , (4) gives

$$(5) \quad \text{ch}_i(\text{SD}_{\sim\omega}) \leq \underset{\substack{\underline{\delta}_h \\ h = 1, 2, \dots, i-j}}{\text{minimum}} \quad \underset{\substack{\underline{y}' \underline{\delta}_h = 0}}{\text{maximum}} \left( \frac{\underline{y}' \underline{S}_1 \underline{y}}{\underline{y}' \underline{y}} \right) \omega_j = (\text{ch}_{i-j+1} \underline{S}_1) \omega_j.$$

Using the lemma 2, and  $\omega_j = \text{ch}_j \underline{D}_{\sim\omega}$ , (5) gives

$$(6) \quad \text{ch}_i(\text{SD}_{\sim\omega}) \leq (\text{ch}_{i-j+1} \underline{S}) (\text{ch}_j \underline{D}_{\sim\omega}).$$

Now consider

$$\text{ch}_{r+i-j} S \sim = \text{ch}_{r+i-j} [(SD) \sim (D^{-1}) \sim] \leq (\text{ch}_i SD) \sim (\text{ch}_{r-j+1} D^{-1}) \sim$$

and  $\text{ch}_{r-j+1} D^{-1} \sim = (\text{ch}_j D) \sim^{-1}$ . Hence, we get

$$(7) \quad \text{ch}_i SD \sim \geq (\text{ch}_j D) \sim (\text{ch}_{r+i-j} S) \sim$$

Combining (6) and (7), and using lemma 2 for  $S$  matrix, we get

$$(8) \quad (\text{ch}_j B) \sim (\text{ch}_{p+i-j} A) \sim \leq \text{ch}_i SD \sim \leq (\text{ch}_j B) \sim (\text{ch}_{i-j+1} A) \sim$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

Now, the use of (1) and (2) in (8) proves the theorem 1.

Corollary 1: Let  $A$  be a sy. matrix,  $B$  be sy. p.s.d. of rank  $r$  and  $C$  be sy. p.d. Then for any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, a$  ( $a$  = number of positive ch. roots of  $AB$ ),

$$(\text{ch}_j BC) \sim (\text{ch}_{p+i-j} A C^{-1}) \sim \leq \text{ch}_i AB \sim \leq (\text{ch}_j BC) \sim (\text{ch}_{i-j+1} AC^{-1}) \sim$$

and for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $i = p - b + 1, p - b + 2, \dots, p$ ,

( $b$  = number of negative ch. roots of  $AB$ ),

$$(\text{ch}_j BC) \sim (\text{ch}_{r+i-j} AC^{-1}) \sim \leq \text{ch}_i AB \sim \leq (\text{ch}_j BC) \sim (\text{ch}_{r+i-j-(p-1)} AC^{-1}) \sim .$$

Proof: Since  $C$  is, sy. p.d., we have  $C = TT'$  where  $T$  is a non-singular matrix. Then by lemma 3,

$$\text{ch}_i AB \sim = \text{ch}_i (AT'^{-1} T' BTT^{-1}) \sim = \text{ch}_i [(T^{-1} A T'^{-1}) (T' B T)] \sim \text{ and then by}$$

theorem 1, we get the corollary 1.

Corollary 2: Let  $r = p$  in corollary 1. Then, we get for any

$i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,

$$(\text{ch}_j BC) \sim (\text{ch}_{p+i-j} AC^{-1}) \sim \leq \text{ch}_i AB \sim \leq (\text{ch}_j BC) \sim (\text{ch}_{i-j+1} AC^{-1}) \sim .$$

The above result was established by Anderson and Gupta [1] by using somewhat different methods under the condition of  $\underline{A}$  being p.s.d., but we have proved it for  $\underline{A}$  any sy. matrix.

Corollary 3: As a special case of corollary 2, we get for any

$i = 1, 2, \dots, p$

$$\begin{aligned} \max. [(ch_{p\sim\sim} BC)(ch_{i\sim\sim} AC^{-1}), (ch_{i\sim\sim} BC)(ch_{p\sim\sim} AC^{-1})] &\leq ch_i(AB) \\ &\leq \min [(ch_{1\sim\sim} BC)(ch_{i\sim\sim} AC^{-1}), (ch_{i\sim\sim} BC)(ch_{1\sim\sim} AC^{-1})]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2: Let A and B be any two sy. matrices of order p. Then for any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,

$$ch_{j\sim} B + ch_{p+i-j\sim} A \leq ch_i(A+B) \leq ch_j B + ch_{i-j+1\sim} A.$$

Proof: Since  $\underline{B}$  is a sy. matrix of order p, there exists an orthogonal matrix  $\underline{\Delta}$  such that  $\underline{B} = \underline{\Delta} \underline{D}_{\omega} \underline{\Delta}'$  where  $\underline{D}_{\omega} = \text{diag.} (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_p)$  and  $\omega_1 \geq \omega_2 \geq \dots \geq \omega_p$  are the ch. roots of  $\underline{B}$ . Moreover, by lemma 1, we have

$$ch_i(A+B) = \underset{\substack{\underline{\alpha}_h \\ h=1,2,\dots,i-1}}{\text{minimum}} \underset{\substack{\underline{x}'\underline{\alpha}_h=0}}{\text{maximum}} \left[ \left( \frac{\underline{x}'(A+B)\underline{x}}{\underline{x}'\underline{x}} \right) = \frac{\underline{x}'A\underline{x}}{\underline{x}'\underline{x}} + \frac{\underline{x}'B\underline{x}}{\underline{x}'\underline{x}} \right].$$

Let us write  $\underline{\Delta}'\underline{x} = \underline{y}$  and  $\underline{\Delta}'\underline{\alpha}_h = \underline{\beta}_h$  ( $h = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ ). Then

$$(9) \quad ch_i(A+B) = \underset{\substack{\underline{\beta}_h \\ h=1,2,\dots,i-1}}{\text{minimum}} \underset{\substack{\underline{\alpha}'\underline{\beta}_h=0}}{\text{maximum}} \left[ \frac{\underline{y}'(\underline{\Delta}'A\underline{\Delta})\underline{y}}{\underline{y}'\underline{y}} + \frac{\underline{y}'\underline{D}_{\omega}\underline{y}}{\underline{y}'\underline{y}} \right].$$

Now minimize over the sub-region given by

$\underline{\beta}'_{h+i-j} = (0, \dots, 0, c_h, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $c_h$  is nonzero finite and real, for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, j-1$ , and  $\underline{\beta}'_h = (0, \dots, 0, \beta_{j,h}, \dots, \beta_{r,h})$  for  $h = 1, 2, \dots, i-j$ .

Then  $\underline{y}'\underline{\beta}_{h+i-j} = 0$  ( $h = 1, 2, \dots, j-1$ ) give  $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{j-1} = 0$ . Now

let us write  $\underline{Z} = (y_j, \dots, y_r)$ ,  $\underline{\delta}_h = (\beta_{j,h}, \dots, \beta_{r,h})$  ( $h = 1, 2, \dots, i-j$ ),  
 $\underline{D}_3 = \text{diag. } (\omega_j, \omega_{j+1}, \dots, \omega_p)$  and  $\underline{A}_1$  be the sub-matrix of  $\underline{A}$ , obtained by  
 deleting first  $(j-1)$  rows and  $(j-1)$  columns of  $(\underline{\Delta}'\underline{A}\underline{\Delta})$ . With this, it is  
 clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_i(\underline{A+B}) &\leq \underset{\substack{\delta_h \\ h=1,2,\dots,i-j}}{\text{minimum}} \underset{\substack{\underline{Z}'\delta_h=0}}{\text{maximum}} \left[ \frac{\underline{Z}' \underline{A}_1 \underline{Z}}{\underline{Z}'\underline{Z}} + \frac{\underline{Z}' \underline{D}_3 \underline{Z}}{\underline{Z}'\underline{Z}} \right] \\ &\leq \underset{\substack{\delta_h \\ h=1,2,\dots,i-j}}{\text{minimum}} \underset{\substack{\underline{Z}'\delta_h=0}}{\text{maximum}} \left[ \frac{\underline{Z}' \underline{A}_1 \underline{Z}}{\underline{Z}'\underline{Z}} + \omega_j \right] = (\text{ch}_{i-j+1} \underline{A}_1) + \omega_j \end{aligned}$$

That is, using lemma 2,

$$\text{ch}_i(\underline{A+B}) \leq \text{ch}_j \underline{B} + \text{ch}_{i-j+1} \underline{A}.$$

For the other part,  $\text{ch}_j \underline{B} = \text{ch}_j [(\underline{A+B}) + (-\underline{A})] \leq \text{ch}_i(\underline{A+B}) + \text{ch}_{j-i+1}(-\underline{A})$ .

But  $\text{ch}_{j-i+1}(-\underline{A}) = -\text{ch}_{p+i-j} \underline{A}$ . Hence we get

$$\text{ch}_i(\underline{A+B}) \geq \text{ch}_j \underline{B} + \text{ch}_{p+i-j} \underline{A}.$$

Thus, the theorem 2 is established.

Corollary 4: As a special case of theorem 2, we have for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$

$$\max. [\text{ch}_{i\sim} \underline{B} + \text{ch}_{p\sim} \underline{A}, \text{ch}_{p\sim} \underline{B} + \text{ch}_{i\sim} \underline{A}] \leq \text{ch}_i(\underline{A+B}) \leq \min. [\text{ch}_{1\sim} \underline{B} + \text{ch}_{i\sim} \underline{A}, \text{ch}_{i\sim} \underline{B} + \text{ch}_{1\sim} \underline{A}].$$

The author thanks Professor S. N. Roy for his kind help.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, T. W. and S. Das Gupta (1963), Some inequalities on characteristic roots of matrices, Biometrika, Vol. 50, pp. 522-524.
- [2] Bellman, (1960), Introduction to Matrix Analysis, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York.