

* *Research partly supported by an NSF Grant No. GP-19568.*
** *and Institut de Statistique mathématique, Université de Genève.*

CONFIDENCE SET FOR THE RATIO OF MEANS OF TWO NORMAL
DISTRIBUTIONS WHEN THE RATIO OF VARIANCES IS UNKNOWN*

I. M. Chakravarti**

Department of Statistics
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Institute of Statistics Mimeo Series No. 765

July, 1971

CONFIDENCE SET FOR THE RATIO OF MEANS OF TWO NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS WHEN THE RATIO OF VARIANCES IS UNKNOWN*

I. M. Chakravarti**
Department of Statistics
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X_{11}, X_{12}, \dots, X_{1n_1}; X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}$, be (n_1+n_2) independent normal random variables. We assume,

$$E(X_{iu}) = \mu_i, \quad \text{Var}(X_{iu}) = \sigma_i^2 \quad (1.1)$$

for $u = 1, 2, \dots, n_i, i = 1, 2$.

We assume $\mu_2 \neq 0$ and set $\lambda = \mu_1/\mu_2$. The problem is to derive a confidence interval for λ , without any assumption about the ratio of the two variances σ_1^2 and σ_2^2 .

Let

$$\bar{X}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{u=1}^{n_i} X_{iu}, \quad S_i^2 = \sum_{u=1}^{n_i} (X_{iu} - \bar{X}_i)^2, \quad s_i^2 = S_i^2/(n_i-1), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (1.2)$$

We give a confidence set for λ with a confidence coefficient which is guaranteed to exceed or equal $(1-\alpha)$, when α is a preassigned positive number less than 1.

* Research partly supported by an NSF Grant No. GP-19568.

** and Institut de Statistique mathématique, Université de Genève.

2. CONFIDENCE SET FOR THE RATIO λ

Let $t_\alpha(m)$ be a constant chosen to satisfy

$$\text{Prob}\left\{|t(m)| \leq t_\alpha(m)\right\} = 1 - \alpha \quad (2.1)$$

where $t(m)$ follows the Student's t distribution with m degrees of freedom and α is a preassigned positive number less than 1. For simplicity, in this article, we denote $t_\alpha(n_i-1)$ by t_i , $i = 1, 2$.

We note that the random variable

$$Y = \frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \lambda \bar{X}_2)^2}{\sigma_1^2/n_1 + \lambda^2 \sigma_2^2/n_2} \quad (2.2)$$

follows chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom and the probability density of this distribution is

$$f(y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-y/2) y^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 \leq y < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Consider the integral

$$g(v) = \int_0^v (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-y/2) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy. \quad (2.4)$$

Noting that $g'(v) > 0$ and $g''(v) < 0$ it follows that

$$g(pv_1 + (1-p)v_2) \geq pg(v_1) + (1-p)g(v_2) \quad (2.5)$$

for $0 < p < 1$ and $0 < v_1, v_2 < \infty$. That is, $g(v)$ is a concave function.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \left(\frac{t_1^2 S_1^2}{n_1(n_1-1)} + \lambda^2 \frac{t_2^2 S_2^2}{n_2(n_2-1)} \right) / \left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \lambda^2 \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2} \right) \\ &= w_1 \frac{t_1^2 S_1^2}{(n_1-1)\sigma_1^2} + w_2 \frac{t_2^2 S_2^2}{(n_2-1)\sigma_2^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$w_1 = \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \lambda^2 \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right)}, \quad w_2 = \frac{\lambda^2 \left(\frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \lambda^2 \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}\right)}.$$

Note that

$$w_1 + w_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq w_i \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.7)$$

Let $Z_i = S_i^2/\sigma_i^2$, $i = 1, 2$, then Z_i has a chi-square distribution with (n_i-1) degrees of freedom and $T_i^2 = (n_i-1) Y/Z_i$ is distributed as the square of the Student's t with (n_i-1) degrees of freedom (or equivalently as an F with 1 and (n_i-1) degrees of freedom), $i = 1, 2$.

Now V can be rewritten as

$$V = w_1 \frac{t_1^2 Z_1}{(n_1-1)} + w_2 \frac{t_2^2 Z_2}{(n_2-1)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \text{Prob} \left\{ (\bar{X}_1 - \lambda \bar{X}_2)^2 \leq \frac{t_1^2 S_1^2}{(n_1-1)n_1} + \lambda^2 \frac{t_2^2 S_2^2}{(n_2-1)n_2} \right\} \\ &= \text{Prob} \left\{ Y \leq V \right\} \\ &= \text{Prob} \left\{ Y \leq w_1 \frac{t_1^2 Z_1}{(n_1-1)} + w_2 \frac{t_2^2 Z_2}{(n_2-1)} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We then prove the following

THEOREM $P \geq 1-\alpha$.

PROOF: Y , Z_1 and Z_2 are independently distributed as chi-square's with 1, (n_1-1) and (n_2-1) degrees of freedom respectively. Let $h(z_i; n_i-1)$ denote the density of the distribution of Z_i (chi-square distribution with n_i-1 degrees of freedom) $i = 1, 2$. Then the probability P can be written as the triple integral

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^{w_1 v_1 + w_2 v_2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-y/2) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy \right] h(z_1; n_1 - 1) h(z_2; n_1 - 1) dz_1 dz_2 \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g(w_1 v_1 + w_2 v_2) h(z_1; n_1 - 1) h(z_2; n_2 - 1) dz_1 dz_2 \quad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_1 = (t_1^2 z_1 / n_1 - 1)$ and $v_2 = (t_2^2 z_2 / n_2 - 1)$. But from (2.5)

$$g(w_1 v_1 + w_2 v_2) \geq w_1 g(v_1) + w_2 g(v_2). \quad (2.11)$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty g(v_i) h(z_1; n_1 - 1) h(z_2; n_2 - 1) dz_1 dz_2 \\
&= \text{Prob} \{T_i^2 \leq t_i^2\} = 1 - \alpha, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (2.12)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2.11) and (2.12) we have

$$P \geq w_1(1 - \alpha) + w_2(1 - \alpha) = 1 - \alpha.$$

A confidence set for λ is thus, given by

$$(\bar{X}_1 - \lambda \bar{X}_2)^2 \leq \frac{t_1^2 s_1^2}{n_1} + \lambda^2 \frac{t_2^2 s_2^2}{n_2} \quad (2.13)$$

or

$$\lambda^2 \left(\bar{X}_2^2 - \frac{t_2^2 s_2^2}{n_2} \right) - 2 \lambda \bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2 + \left(\bar{X}_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2 s_1^2}{n_1} \right) \leq 0 \quad (2.14)$$

with a confidence coefficient greater than or equal to $(1 - \alpha)$.

Consider the quadratic equation

$$\lambda^2 \left(\bar{X}_2^2 - \frac{t_2^2 s_2^2}{n_2} \right) - 2 \lambda \bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2 + \left(\bar{X}_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2 s_1^2}{n_1} \right) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

Writing

$$a = \bar{X}_2^2 - \frac{t_2^2 s_2^2}{n_2}, \quad b = \bar{X}_1 \bar{X}_2, \quad c = \bar{X}_1^2 - \frac{t_1^2 s_1^2}{n_1}, \quad (2.16)$$

(2.15) becomes

$$a\lambda^2 - 2\lambda b + c = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

The two roots of (2.17) are given by

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{b \pm (b^2 - ac)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{a}. \quad (2.18)$$

Let $\beta = b^2 - ac$.

3. CONFIDENCE INTERVAL. LIMITATIONS.

If $a > 0$ and $\beta > 0$, the confidence set (2.14) becomes a confidence interval for λ , namely,

$$\lambda_- \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_+. \quad (3.1)$$

If ($a < 0$, $\beta > 0$), (2.14) implies that λ is outside of the interval (λ_-, λ_+) .

It is easy to show that the case ($a > 0$, $\beta < 0$) is impossible. The cases $a = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ are ignored, because the probabilities are zero for these events.

The case ($a < 0$, $\beta < 0$) may, however, occur with positive probability. In this case (2.14) will imply that $-\infty \leq \lambda \leq \infty$. The confidence set for λ , therefore, as constructed here suffers from the same limitation as the one constructed by *Fieller* (1940) for a bivariate sample from a bivariate normal distribution.

Recently, *Scheffé* (1970) has constructed a confidence set for *Fieller's* problem, which is free from this limitation. Using *Scheffé's* ideas, it is

possible to derive a modified confidence set for our problem, which will not be improper in Scheffé's sense. This will be reported in a subsequent communication.

4. ILLUSTRATION

Using the formula (2.14) of this paper, confidence sets were calculated for the ratio of mean caries increment in two groups, experimental and control, from data compiled by different investigators and reported in Table II of a paper by *Marthaler* (1970). In every case, the confidence set resulted in a confidence interval of the type $\lambda_- \leq \lambda \leq \lambda_+$. Here we give one example based on data by *Marthaler* (1970).

$$\begin{aligned} n_c &= \text{number of subjects in the control group} = 32 \\ n_e &= \text{number of subjects in the experimental group} = 42 \\ \bar{x}_c &= \text{mean caries increment in the control group} = 15.25 \\ \bar{x}_e &= \text{mean caries increment in the experimental group} = 11.33 \\ s_c &= \text{standard deviation of the individual caries count in the} \\ &\quad \text{control group} = 8.55 \\ s_e &= \text{standard deviation of the individual caries count in the} \\ &\quad \text{experimental group} = 7.59 \\ t_c^2 &= t_{0.5}^2(31) = 4.17 \\ t_e^2 &= t_{0.5}^2(41) = 4.08. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{mean caries increment in the experimental group}}{\text{mean caries increment in the control group}}.$$

The confidence set for λ then, with a confidence coefficient greater or equal to 0.95, is

$$0.5518 \leq \lambda \leq 0.9976,$$

and

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\bar{x}_e}{\bar{x}_c} = 0.743.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

My sincere thanks are due to Professor *A. Linder* for drawing my attention to this problem and stimulating discussions. I wish to thank, also, Dr. *Th. Marthaler* for letting me see his manuscript, "Statistical Treatment of Percentage Inhibitions of Human Dental Caries Incremental Data".

REFERENCES

- Fieller, E.C.*, 1940: The biological standardization of insulin. Suppl. J. Roy. Stat. Soc., 7, 1-64.
- Marthaler, Th.*, 1970: Statistical treatment of percentage inhibitions of human dental caries incremental data. (To be published.)
- Scheffé, H.*, 1970: Multiple testing versus multiple estimation. Improper confidence sets. Estimation of directions and ratios. Ann. Math. Stat., 41, 1-29.

SUMMARY

In this article, a confidence set for the *ratio of the means* of two normal distributions, has been derived *without making any assumption on the ratio of the two variances*. The construction of the confidence set uses the sample means and sample variances of two independent random samples from the two distributions. The solution is exact and does not use any large sample approximation. The confidence coefficient, however, is guaranteed to be greater than or equal to $(1-\alpha)$ rather than be exactly equal to $(1-\alpha)$, where α is a pre-assigned positive number less than 1.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Ein Konfidenzbereich für das *Verhältnis der Mittelwerte* von zwei Normalverteilungen wird abgeleitet, *ohne Annahmen über das Verhältnis der beiden Varianzen* zu treffen. Zur Berechnung des Konfidenzbereiches werden die Mittelwerte und die Varianzen von zwei unabhängigen Zufallsstichproben aus den beiden Verteilungen benützt. Die Lösung ist genau und stellt keine Annäherung mittels grösser Stichproben dar. Vom Konfidenzkoeffizienten kann jedoch nur behauptet werden, dass er grösser oder gleich, jedoch nicht genau gleich $(1-\alpha)$ ist. Hierbei ist α eine vorgegebene positive Zahl kleiner als 1.