

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported in part by the U. S. Army Research Office,  
Durham, under Contract No. DAHC04-71-C-0042.

ESTIMATION OF RANK ORDER<sup>1</sup>

by

N. L. Johnson

*Department of Statistics*  
*University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill*

Institute of Statistics Mimeo Series Number 931

June 1974

## ESTIMATION OF RANK ORDER

By N. L. Johnson

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

1. Introduction The *rank order* of a member  $a_i$  of a set of  $n$  numbers  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is the number of members of the set less than or equal to  $a_i$  (including, of course,  $a_i$  itself). If no two  $a$ 's are equal, the rank of the  $g$ -th smallest  $a_i$  is  $g$ .

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are continuous random variables corresponding to a random sample, then with probability one, no two are equal and so each  $X_i$  will have a unique rank order different from that of any other  $X_j$  ( $j \neq i$ ).

In this paper we will be concerned with the estimation of the rank order of a specified  $X_i$  when only partial information about the sample values  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is available. The discussion will be restricted to cases where  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed continuous random variables with common cumulative distribution function  $F(x)$  and density function  $f(x)$ .

Throughout the paper there are two different sampling schemes under consideration. In one an individual value is chosen at random and so its rank order  $G$  is a random variable, while in the other it is supposed that the rank order  $g$  is fixed, though remaining observed values (if any) are chosen at random.

Tests relating to rank order will be in a later report.

2. Population Distribution Unknown; r Sample Values Known (2 ≤ r < n)

If we are given the values  $(X_1^i, X_2^i, \dots, X_r^i)$  of a randomly chosen subset of  $r(\geq 2)$  of the  $n$  values  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  we can evaluate the probability that a randomly chosen  $X^i$  is the  $g$ -th smallest among  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  (i.e. has rank order  $G = g$  in this set). Note that  $G$  is a random variable.

The conditional probability that  $G = g$ , given that the rank order,  $G^i$ , among  $(X_1^i, X_2^i, \dots, X_r^i)$  is  $g^i$  in

$$\Pr[G = g \mid G^i = g^i] = \frac{\Pr[G=g]\Pr[G^i=g^i \mid G=g]}{\Pr[G^i=g^i]} .$$

Since  $\Pr[G = g] = n^{-1}$ ,  $\Pr[G^i = g^i] = r^{-1}$  ( $g = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $g^i = 1, \dots, r$ )

and

$$(1) \quad \Pr[G^i = g^i \mid G = g] = \frac{\binom{g-1}{g^i-1} \binom{n-g}{r-g^i}}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}} \left[ \max(1, r + g - n) \leq g^i \leq \min(g, r) \right]$$

we have

$$(2) \quad \Pr[G = g \mid G^i = g^i] = \frac{\binom{g-1}{g^i-1} \binom{n-g}{r-g^i}}{\binom{n}{r}} (g = g^i, g^i + 1, \dots, g^i + n - r) .$$

Note that (1) implies that  $(G^i - 1)$  has a hypergeometric distribution with parameters  $(r - 1), (g - 1), (n - 1)$ .

Now

$$\frac{\Pr[G=g|G=g']}{\Pr[G=g-1|G=g']} = \frac{(g-1)(n-r+1+g'-g)}{(g-g')(n+1-g)}$$

$$(g = g' + 1, \dots, g' + n - r) .$$

This ratio is greater or less than 1 , according as

$$(g - 1)(n - r + 1) \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} n(g' - g) ,$$

i.e. as

$$g \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} \frac{n(g'-1)}{r-1} + 1 .$$

The value of  $\Pr[G = g | G' = g']$  is therefore minimized by

$$(3) \quad g = \tilde{g} = \left[ \frac{n(g'-1)}{r-1} + 1 \right]$$

([ ] denotes "integer part of".) . If  $n(g' - 1) / (r - 1)$  is an integer (as it is sure to be if  $n / (r - 1)$  is an integer) then

$$\Pr[G = \tilde{g} - 1 | G' = g'] = \Pr[G = \tilde{g} | G' = g']$$

and the common value is  $\max_g P[G = g | G' = g']$  .

One might consider  $\tilde{g}$  as a "maximum probability" estimator of  $g$  . It does indeed maximize the likelihood (1) (as well as (2)) .

We are thus led to consider the statistic

$$\tilde{G} = \left[ \frac{n(G'-1)}{r-1} + 1 \right]$$

as an estimator of  $g$  .

The properties of  $\tilde{G}_a = \frac{n(G'-1)}{r-1} + 1$  are easily established. (Note that if  $n/(r-1)$  is an integer,  $\tilde{G}_a = \tilde{G}$ ). The distribution of  $(G' - 1)$ , given  $G = g$ , is hypergeometric (see (1)) and

$$(4.1) \quad E[G' | g] = 1 + (r - 1)(g - 1) / (n - 1)$$

$$(4.2) \quad \text{var}[G' | g] = [(n - r)(n - 2)](r - 1)[(g - 1)/(n - 1)][1 - (g - 1)/(n - 1)] \\ = (n - r)(r - 1)(g - 1)(n - g)(n - 1)^{-2}(n - 2)^{-1}$$

whence

$$(5.1) \quad E[\tilde{G}_a | g] = [n/(n - 1)](g - 1) + 1$$

$$(5.2) \quad \text{var} [\tilde{G}_a | g] = \frac{n^2(n-r)}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \cdot \frac{(g-1)(n-g)}{r-1}$$

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{T} = (r - 1)^{-1} (n - 1) (G' - 1) + 1$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $g$ .

Note that  $\text{var} [G_a | g] = 0$  if either  $g = 1$  or  $g = n$ , as is to be expected.

### 3. Population Distribution Known: Only One Sample Value Known

The analysis of Section 2 can be applied only if  $r \geq 2$ . However, if the common density function of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is known, then it is possible to derive a distribution for the rank order  $G$  of a randomly chosen  $X$ , even if no other  $X$ -values are known. The probability that  $G = g$ , given  $X = x$ , is the probability that  $(g - 1)$  of the remaining  $X$ 's are less than  $x$ , and the other  $(n - g)$  are greater than  $x$ . This is

$$(6) \Pr[G = g \mid X = x] = \binom{n-1}{g-1} \{F(x)\}^{g-1} \{1 - F(x)\}^{n-g} \quad (g = 1, 2, \dots, n) .$$

The value of  $g$  which maximizes (6) is

$$\hat{g} = [nF(x) + 1] .$$

If  $nF(x)$  is an integer then

$$\Pr[G = nF(x) + 1 \mid X = x] = \Pr[G = nF(x) \mid X = x]$$

and the common value maximizes  $\Pr[G = g \mid X = x]$  .

We can consider

$$\hat{G} = [nF(X) + 1]$$

at a maximum probability estimator of  $g$  .

Considering the related statistic

$$\hat{G}_a = nF(X) + 1$$

we note that  $F(X)$  has a beta distribution with parameters  $(g, n - g + 1)$

and so

$$(7.1) \quad E[F(X) \mid g] = g/(n + 1)$$

$$(7.2) \quad \text{var} [F(X) \mid g] = g(n - g + 1) (n + 1)^{-2} (n + 2)^{-1}$$

whence

$$(8.1) \quad E[\hat{G}_a \mid g] = gn(n + 1)^{-1} + 1$$

$$(8.2) \quad \text{var} [\hat{G}_a \mid g] = g(n - g + 1) n^2 (n + 1)^{-2} (n + 2)^{-1}$$

(8.3)  $\hat{T} = (n + 1) F(X)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $g$ .

4. Population Distribution Known:  $r(\geq 2)$  Sample Values Known

In this case we have information of each of the kinds described in Sections 2 and 3. The two sources of information may be combined to give information on the rank  $G$  of an individual  $X$  among  $n$  randomly chosen values, given its rank  $G'$  among a randomly chosen subset of  $r(\geq 2)$  among the  $n$  values and the value of the cumulative distribution function  $F(x)$ , for the individual concerned. The exact values of  $X$  for the other  $(r - 1)$  members of the subset contain no further information beyond the value of  $G'$  (to which of course they contribute). (In practice, of course, if these values were abnormal they might raise doubts whether the correct function  $F(x)$  was being used for the cumulative distribution function.)

The conditional distribution of  $G$  is

$$(9) \Pr[G = g | (X = x) \cap (G' = g')] = \binom{n-r}{g-g'} \{F(x)\}^{g-g'} \{1 - F(x)\}^{n-r-g+g'}$$

$$(g = g', g' + 1, \dots, g' + n - r) .$$

This is maximized by

$$g = \hat{g} = [(n - r + 1) F(x) + g'] .$$

If  $(n - r + 1) F(x)$  is an integer, then the values  $g = \hat{g}$  and  $g = \hat{g} + 1$  give equal values for  $\Pr[G = g | (X = x) \cap (G' = g')]$  and thus maximize this probability.

Consider now the estimator

$$\hat{\tilde{G}}_a = (n - r + 1) F(X) + G' .$$

If  $G = g$  then

$$(10.1) \quad E[\hat{\tilde{G}}_a | g] = (n - r + 1) \frac{g}{n+1} + (r - 1) \frac{g-1}{n-1} + 1 \\ = \left\{ 1 + \frac{2r-n-1}{n^2-1} \right\} g + \frac{n-r}{n-1} .$$

Conditional on  $G = g$ , the variables  $F(X)$  and  $G'$  are mutually independent, and so

$$(10.2) \quad \text{var} [\hat{\tilde{G}}_a | g] = (n - r + 1)^2 \text{var} [F(X) | g] + \text{var} [G' | g] \\ = \frac{(n-r+1)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} g(n - g + 1) + \frac{(n-r)(r-1)}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} (g - 1) (n - g) .$$

Also

$$(10.3) \quad \hat{\tilde{T}} = \left\{ \hat{\tilde{G}}_a - \frac{n-r}{n-1} \right\} \left\{ 1 + \frac{2r-n-1}{n^2-1} \right\}^{-1} \quad \text{is an unbiased estimator of } g .$$

We have

$$(11.1) \quad \text{var} [\hat{\tilde{T}} | g] = (n - r) (r - 1)^{-1} (n - 2)^{-1} (g - 1) (n - g)$$

$$(11.2) \quad \text{var} (\hat{T} | g) = (n + 2)^{-1} g(n - g + 1)$$

$$(11.3) \quad \text{var} [\hat{\tilde{T}} | g] = \left\{ \frac{(n-r+1)^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} g(n - g + 1) + \frac{(r-1)(n-r)}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} (g - 1) (n - g) \right\} \\ \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{2r-n-1}{n^2-1} \right\}^{-2}$$



If  $g$ ,  $r$ , and  $n$  are large, with  $g/n = \gamma$ ,  $r/n = \omega$  then

$$(12.1) \quad n \text{ var } [g^{-1}\tilde{T} \mid g] \doteq (\omega^{-1} - 1) (\gamma^{-1} - 1)$$

$$(12.2) \quad n \text{ var } [g^{-1}\hat{T} \mid g] \doteq \gamma^{-1} - 1$$

$$(12.3) \quad n \text{ var } [g^{-1}\hat{\tilde{T}} \mid g] \doteq (1 - \omega) (\gamma^{-1} - 1)$$

Hence

$$\text{var } [\tilde{T} \mid g] : \text{var } [\hat{T} \mid g] : \text{var } [\hat{\tilde{T}} \mid g] \doteq \omega^{-1} : (1 - \omega)^{-1} : 1$$

These approximate values do not depend on  $\gamma (= g/n)$ . Since they are based on the assumption that  $g/n$  is fixed, and not tending to 0 or 1, they will not apply when  $g$  is near 1 or  $n$ .

In large samples, we will have

$$\text{var } [\tilde{T} \mid g] > \text{var } [\hat{\tilde{T}} \mid g]$$

according as  $\omega = r/n < \frac{1}{2}$ . It is to be expected that as  $r$  increases the estimator based on rank among a subset of  $r$  values will become more accurate. It appears that a "break-even" point (as compared with an estimator based on knowledge of  $F(X)$ ) is attained when about half of the sample values are included in the subset. We note, also, that this estimator can be computed, even when we have no knowledge of  $F(X)$ , except that it is continuous.

As might be expected,  $\hat{\tilde{T}}$  has a smaller variance than either  $\tilde{T}$  or  $\hat{T}$ , since it combines information from both  $G'$  and  $F(x)$ .

Table 1 shows some values of efficiencies (reciprocal ratio of variances) of  $\tilde{T}$  and  $\hat{T}$  relative to  $\hat{\tilde{T}}$  for a few values of  $n$ ,  $g$  and  $r$ . The values of  $\text{eff}[T | g] = \text{var}[T | g] / \text{var}[\hat{\tilde{T}} | g]$  do not depend on the distribution function  $F(x)$ . Even for quite small  $n$ , they do not vary much with  $g$  either (for  $n$  and  $r$  fixed), provided  $g$  is not near 1 or  $n$ .

Table 1: Relative Efficiencies of Some Unbiased Estimators of Rank Order

(For  $g > \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$ , use  $\text{eff}[T | n-g+1] = \text{eff}[T | g]$ )

$n$	$r$	$g$	$\text{eff}[\tilde{T} g]$	$\text{eff}[\hat{T} g]$	$n$	$r$	$g$	$\text{eff}[\tilde{T} g]$	$\text{eff}[\hat{T} g]$	
5	2	2	0.28	0.72	10	2	2	0.16	0.85	
		3	0.24	0.76			4	0.11	0.88	
	3	2	0.54	0.47		5	5	0.11	0.89	
		3	0.49	0.51		4	2	0.44	0.59	
	4	2	0.80	0.23			4	4	0.34	0.66
		3	0.75	0.26		5	5	0.33	0.67	
	20	5	4	0.24		0.77	5	2	0.57	0.47
			8	0.21		0.78		4	0.45	0.55
10			0.21	0.79	5	5		0.44	0.56	
10		4	0.51	0.49	6	2	0.68	0.36		
		8	0.47	0.52		4	0.57	0.44		
		10	0.47	0.52		5	0.56	0.44		
15		4	0.77	0.24	8	2	0.90	0.17		
		8	0.74	0.26		4	0.79	0.22		
		10	0.74	0.26		5	0.78	0.22		

Table 1 (cont.)

Relative Efficiencies of Some Unbiased Estimators of Rank Order

(For  $g > \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)$ , use  $\text{eff}[T | n - g + 1] = \text{eff}[T | g]$ )

	n	r	g	eff $[\tilde{T} g]$	eff $[\hat{T} g]$		n	r	g	eff $[\tilde{T} g]$	eff $[\hat{T} g]$
50	10	10	2	0.19	0.81	100	20	10	2	0.20	0.80
		20	2	0.18	0.82			20	2	0.20	0.80
		25	2	0.18	0.82			40	2	0.19	0.81
	20	10	3	0.40	0.60		40	10	3	0.41	0.59
		20	3	0.39	0.61			20	3	0.40	0.60
		25	3	0.39	0.61			40	3	0.39	0.61
	30	10	4	0.61	0.40		60	10	4	0.62	0.39
		20	4	0.60	0.41			20	4	0.60	0.40
		25	4	0.60	0.41			40	4	0.60	0.40
	40	10	5	0.83	0.20		80	10	5	0.81	0.19
		20	5	0.82	0.21			20	5	0.80	0.20
		25	5	0.82	0.21			40	5	0.80	0.20

Note that if  $\tilde{T}$  were the linear function of  $\tilde{T}$  and  $\hat{T}$  which (a) had expected value  $g$  and (b), subject to (a), had minimum variance, then the sum of the two efficiencies would be equal to 1. The biggest deviation from this situation is when  $n$  is small,  $r/n$  is near 1 and  $g/n$  is near 0 or 1. (See cases  $n = 10, g = 2$  in Table 1).

It should be realized that each of the estimators  $\tilde{T}$ ,  $\hat{T}$  and  $\hat{\tilde{T}}$  can take functional values. It is not intended that they should replace the integer valued  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\hat{G}$  and  $\hat{\tilde{G}}$ ; but they have been used to facilitate comparison.

4. Population Distribution Unknown: Series of Single Observations of the Same Unknown Rank in Random Samples of Known Size n

If we are given the observed value of a random variable and are told merely that it is selected at random from a set of  $n$  independent identically distributed continuous random variables, it is not possible to obtain a useful estimator of the rank of the chosen value among the  $n$  original values, without having some further information about the common distribution.

If we have a series of  $N$  such values  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N$  and know that each takes the same rank ( $g$ ) among a random sample of size  $n$  (but  $g$  is not known), we may in some circumstances be able to use the  $Y$ 's to estimate  $F(x)$  and so obtain some information on  $g$ . We will suppose the  $N$  random samples of size  $n$  to be selected independently.

(a) Form of Population Distribution Known

We suppose it is known that  $F(x)$  is a known function  $F(x | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_s) = F(x | \underline{\theta})$  of  $s$  parameters  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s$ . The joint function of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N$  is then

$$(13) \quad L_g(\underline{\theta}) = \left\{ \frac{n!}{(g-1)!(n-g)!} \right\}^N \prod_{j=1}^N [ \{F(Y_j | \underline{\theta})\}^{g-1} \{1 - F(Y_j | \underline{\theta})\}^{n-g} f(Y_j | \underline{\theta}) ]$$

where  $f(t | \underline{\theta}) = \frac{d}{dt} \{F(t | \underline{\theta})\}$ .

For a given set of values  $\underline{\theta}_0$  of the parameters  $\underline{\theta}$ ,  $L_g(\underline{\theta}_0)$  is maximized by taking  $g = \hat{g}(\underline{\theta}_0)$  where  $\hat{g}(\underline{\theta}_0)$  is the greatest integer for which

$$L_g(\underline{\theta}) > L_{g-1}(\underline{\theta})$$

i.e. for which

$$\left(\frac{n-g+1}{g-1}\right)^N \prod_{n=1}^N \left\{ \frac{F(Y_j | \theta)}{1-F(Y_j | \theta)} \right\} > 1 .$$

We have

$$(14) \quad \hat{g}(\theta_0) = [n\{1 + Q(Y | \theta_0)\}^{-1} + 1]$$

where

$$Q(Y | \theta_0) = \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{F(Y_j | \theta_0)}{1-F(Y_j | \theta_0)} \right\}^{1/N} .$$

We then have to seek  $\theta_0$  to maximize  $L_{\hat{g}(\theta_0)}(\theta_0)$ . The corresponding value,  $\hat{g}$ , of  $\hat{g}(\theta_0)$  is the maximum likelihood estimator of  $g$ . The process can be lengthy, but considerable curtailment can be effected by noting that  $\hat{g}$  must be an integer, and as  $\max L_{\hat{g}(\theta_0)}(\theta_0)$  is approached the value of  $\hat{g}(\theta_0)$  does not change over many iterations.

Another method, which is especially useful for small  $n$ , is to find the value  $\hat{\theta}_{\tilde{g}}$ , maximizing  $L_{\tilde{g}}(\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$ , for fixed integer values of  $g$  and then choose  $\hat{g}$  as the value minimizing  $L_{\tilde{g}}(\hat{\theta}_{\tilde{g}})$ . This procedure requires determination of just  $n$  values  $L_1(\hat{\theta}_{\tilde{g}(1)}) \dots L_n(\hat{\theta}_{\tilde{g}(n)})$ , but the calculations needed to determine each  $\hat{\theta}_{\tilde{g}}$  may be heavy. For this reason the procedure based on (14) may be preferable for larger values of  $n$ .

As a simple example, let us take

$$(15) \quad F(x | \theta) = 1 - e^{-x/\theta} \quad (x > 0 ; \theta > 0)$$

(corresponding to an exponential distribution for  $X$ ).

For  $g$  fixed,

$$(16) \quad L_g(\theta) = K_g \prod_{j=1}^N \left\{ \left( 1 - e^{-Y_j/\theta} \right)^{g-1} e^{-(n-g)Y_j/\theta} \theta^{-1} e^{-Y_j/\theta} \right\}$$

$$= K_g \theta^{-N} \exp \left\{ -(n-g+1) \sum_{j=1}^N Y_j \right\} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^N \left( 1 - e^{-Y_j/\theta} \right)^{g-1}$$

where  $K_g = \left\{ \frac{(n-1)!}{(g-1)!(n-g)!} \right\}^N$ .

To minimize  $L_g(\theta)$  with respect to  $\theta$  we take  $\theta$  equal to the root of the equation

$$\frac{2L_g(\theta)}{2\theta} = -\frac{N}{\theta} + \frac{(n-g+1) \sum_{j=1}^N Y_j}{\theta^2} - \frac{(g-1)}{\theta^2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{Y_j e^{-Y_j/\theta}}{1 - e^{-Y_j/\theta}} = 0$$

i.e.  $(n-g+1)N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \left\{ 1 - (g-1)(n-g+1)^{-1} (e^{Y_j/\theta} - 1)^{-1} \right\} Y_j = \theta$

or

$$(17) \quad \bar{Y} = \frac{\theta + (g-1)N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N Y_j (e^{Y_j/\theta} - 1)^{-1}}{n-g+1}$$

Since the right hand side of (17) is a continuous increasing function  $\theta$  for  $0 < \theta$ , and tends to  $0, \infty$  as  $\theta \rightarrow 0, \infty$  respectively, the equation has a single solution  $\theta = \hat{\theta}(g)$ .

In the present case, since

$$(18) \quad E[Y | g] = \theta \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} (-1)^j \binom{g-1}{j} (n-g+1+j)^{-2} = \theta a_{g,n},$$

say, an initial value of  $\theta$  could be taken as  $\bar{Y}/a_{g,n}$ .

(b) Distribution Form Known to Depend only on Scale and Location Parameters

In the special case when  $x = 2$  and

$$F(x) = F(x | \theta_1, \theta_2) = H((x - \theta_1) / \theta_2)$$

where  $H(\cdot)$  is an explicit known function, the following heuristic method of estimating  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  which is relatively simple to apply, may give useful results. It is based on the fact that the expected value of the  $g$ -th smallest value in a random sample of size  $n$  (the  $g$ -th order statistic) is

$$(19.1) \quad \theta_1 + a_{g,n} \theta_2$$

where  $a_{g,n}$  is a known constant. Similarly the standard deviation of the  $g$ -th order statistic is

$$(19.2) \quad b_{g,n} \theta_2$$

where  $b_{g,n}$  is a constant.

For a given  $g$ , we estimate  $\theta_2$  by

$$\tilde{\theta}_2 = S_Y / b_{g,n}$$

where  $S_Y$  is the sample standard deviation of  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N$ ; and we estimate  $\theta_1$  by

$$(20.2) \quad \tilde{\theta}_1 = \bar{Y} - a_{g,n} \tilde{\theta}_2$$

with  $\bar{Y} = N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^N Y_j$ .

We then insert these values in the likelihood function giving

$$(21) \quad \tilde{L}_g = L_g(\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2) = \tilde{\theta}^{-N} \left\{ \frac{(n-1)!}{(g-1)!(n-g)!} \right\} \prod_{j=1}^N \left[ \left\{ H \left( \frac{Y_j - \tilde{\theta}_1}{\tilde{\theta}_2} \right) \right\}^{g-1} \left\{ 1 - H_j \left( \frac{Y_j - \tilde{\theta}_1}{\tilde{\theta}_2} \right) \right\} \right] h \left( \frac{Y_j - \tilde{\theta}_1}{\tilde{\theta}_2} \right)$$

where  $h(\circ) = H'(\circ)$  .

This is repeated for  $g = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and we find the value of  $g, \tilde{g}$  say, which maximizes  $\tilde{L}_g$  .

As a final step we may calculate the values of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  which maximize  $L$  , for  $g = \tilde{g} - 1, \tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{g} + 1$  and take our final estimator as that value of the three for which  $L_g(\hat{\theta}_{1(g)}, \hat{\theta}_{2(g)})$  is greatest.

In some cases, experience may suggest that this last step might be omitted. Conversely, in situations where we find that  $L_g(\hat{\theta}_{1(g)}, \hat{\theta}_{2(g)})$  is greater for  $g = \tilde{g} + 1$  or  $|\tilde{g}^+| = \tilde{g} - 1$  than it is for  $g = \tilde{g}$  , it may be desirable to try also  $g = \tilde{g} + 2$  or  $g = \tilde{g} - 2$  respectively.

For the case of an exponential distribution we have only one unknown parameter  $\theta$  , and this would be estimated as  $\tilde{\theta} = \bar{Y} / a_{g,n}$  (see text following (18) ).

### 5. Further Possibilities

In the circumstances of the last section, it is possible, in principle, to estimate  $n$  , the total sample size (if it is unknown) as well as  $g$  , given a sufficient number of values  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N$  each of which is the  $g$ -th in rank among  $N$  random values from the same continuous distributions. Either maximum likelihood or moments can be applied.



For example in the exponential case we can estimate  $\theta$ ,  $g$  and  $n$  as solutions of the moment equations.

$$(22) \quad m'_r(Y) = \theta^r \Gamma(r+1) \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} (-1)^j \binom{g-1}{j} (n - g + 1 + j)^{-(r+1)}$$

$(r = 1, 2, 3)$

where  $m'_r(Y)$  is the  $r$ -th sample moment about zero of the  $N$  observed values  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_N$ . Alternately we may seek values of  $n, g$  and  $\theta$  maximizing (16).

Apart from technical difficulties, however, it seems likely that very large values of  $N$  would be needed to obtain reasonably accurate estimators of the three parameters  $n, g$  and  $\theta$ .