

BIOMATHEMATICS TRAINING PROGRAM

AN ALGORITHM FOR THE  
APPROXIMATE NULL DISTRIBUTION  
OF HOTELLING'S GENERALIZED  $T_0^2$   
by

Ronald W. Helms  
and  
Elizabeth DeLong

Department of Biostatistics  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Institute of Statistics Mimeo Series No. 970  
July, 1975

Keywords:  $T_0^2$ , Trace Criterion, Multivariate Analysis

LANGUAGE

ANSI Fortran

Summary

An algorithm is presented for computing an approximation to the cumulative distribution function of Hotelling's generalized  $T_0^2$  statistic.

## DESCRIPTION AND PURPOSE

Hotelling's Generalized  $T_0^2$  statistic is defined as

$$T_0^2 = n_2 \text{Trace} (\underline{\underline{H}} \underline{\underline{E}}^{-1})$$

where  $\underline{\underline{H}}$  and  $\underline{\underline{E}}$  are independent  $p \times p$  matrices;

$\underline{\underline{H}} \sim W_p (n_1, \underline{\underline{\Sigma}}, \underline{\underline{\Delta}})$ , and is positive semidefinite;

$\underline{\underline{E}} \sim W_p (n_2, \underline{\underline{\Sigma}}, \underline{\underline{0}})$ , and is positive definite;

and where  $W_p (n, \underline{\underline{\Sigma}}, \underline{\underline{\Delta}})$  denotes the Wishart distribution for  $p \times p$  matrices, with  $n$  degrees of freedom, covariance parameter matrix  $\underline{\underline{\Sigma}}$ , and noncentrality parameter matrix  $\underline{\underline{\Delta}}$ . The purpose of the algorithm is to compute an approximation to the cumulative distribution function of the *central* distribution (i.e.,  $\underline{\underline{\Delta}} = \underline{\underline{0}}$ ) of  $T_0^2$ :

$$\text{CDF} = \Pr [T_0^2 \leq T \mid \underline{\underline{\Delta}} = \underline{\underline{0}}]. \quad (1)$$

The central distribution is also called the "null" distribution, in part because  $\underline{\underline{\Delta}}$  is "null" and in part because this distribution is usually the relevant one under the "null hypothesis" of certain multivariate tests.

## METHOD

This algorithm evaluates the cumulative distribution function of the distribution of Hotelling's  $T_0^2$  for certain special cases; for combinations of the parameters which do not satisfy the special cases the algorithm evaluates the cdf of a distribution which approximates the  $T_0^2$  distribution. The following notation will be helpful; let

$$U^{(s)} = \text{Trace} (\underline{\underline{H}} \underline{\underline{E}}^{-1})$$

where  $\underline{\underline{H}}$  and  $\underline{\underline{E}}$  are as described above and

$$s = \min \{n_1, p\}$$

is the number of non-zero eigenvalues of  $\underline{\underline{H}} \underline{\underline{E}}^{-1}$ . The case  $s = n_1 < p$  leads to difficulties which can be bypassed: the distribution of  $U^{(s)}$  can be derived from the distribution of  $U^{(p)}$  [ $p < n_1$ ] by mapping

$$(n_1, n_2, p) \rightarrow (p, n_1 + n_2 - p, n_1). \quad (2)$$

Thus only the case  $n_1 \geq p$  need be considered. The algorithm automatically handles this mapping in the case  $n_1 < p$ .

Special cases. The algorithm produces exact results (to the accuracy of the incomplete beta function algorithm) in the following special cases.

In the case  $p = 1$  the  $T_0^2$  is proportional to an F-statistic:

$$T_0^2 / n_1 \sim F(n_1, n_2),$$

hence,

$$[T_0^2 / (n_2 + T_0^2)] \sim \text{Beta}\left(\frac{n_1}{2}, \frac{n_2}{2}\right),$$

and the algorithm evaluates:

$$\Pr [T_0^2 \leq T] = I_W\left(\frac{n_1}{2}, \frac{n_2}{2}\right)$$

where

$$w = T / (T + n_2) = U / (U + 1)$$

$$U = T / n_2.$$

In his original paper Hotelling (1951) derived the exact distribution of  $T_0^2$  for the special case  $p = 2$ :

$$\Pr [T_0^2 \leq T] = I_w(n_1 - 1, n_2) + \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma[(n_1 + n_2 - 1)/2]}{\Gamma(n_1/2) \Gamma(n_2/2)} \left(\frac{1 - w}{1 + w}\right)^{(n_2 - 1)/2} I_w^2\left(\frac{n_1 - 1}{2}, \frac{n_2 + 1}{2}\right)$$

where

$$w = T / (2n_2 + T) = U / (U + 2).$$

This result is used by the algorithm when  $p = 2$ . It is interesting that Johnson and Kotz (1972, page 199, equation (41)) give an incorrect version of this result.

Note that because of the mapping (2) one of these special cases will be invoked if  $n_1 = 1$  or  $n_1 = 2$  and  $n_1 < p$ . Rao(1965, Section 8b(xii)) treats the special case  $n_1 = 1$  separately and shows that the cdf of the  $T_0^2$  distribution is equivalent to the incomplete beta function, but because of the mapping (2) no special coding is needed for this case.

Moment-Based Approximations. Pillai and Young(1971) developed the technique of approximating the density of  $U^{(p)}$  by a density similar to the density of a central F distribution. The approximation is based upon finding the parameters of the F-type distribution which make the first three moments of the distribution of  $U^{(p)}$  equal to the first three moments of the F-type distribution. The first three central moments of the central distribution of  $U^{(p)}$  are given by Pillai(1960), Pillai and Samson(1959), and again in Pillai and Young(1971) as:

$$\mu_1 = p (2m + p + 1) / (2n)$$

$$\mu_2 = [p (2m + p + 1) (2m + 2n + p + 1) (2n + p)] / [4n^2(n - 1) (2n + 1)]$$

$$\mu_3 = \frac{p (2m + n + p + 1) (2m + p + 1) (2m + 2n + p + 1) (n + p) (2n + p)}{2n^3 (n - 1) (n - 2) (n + 1) (2n + 1)}$$

where

$$m = (n_1 - p - 1) / 2$$

$$n = (n_2 - p - 1) / 2.$$

The F-type density function

$$f(x; a, b, K) = x^a / \{\beta(a+1, b-a-1) K^{a+1} (1+x/K)^b\} \quad 0 < x < \infty$$

has the following first three central moments

$$\mu_{F1} = K (a+1) / (b-a-2)$$

$$\mu_{F2} = [K^2 (a+1) (b-1)] / [(b-a-2)^2 (b-a-3)]$$

$$\mu_{F3} = [2 K^3 (a+1) (b-1) (a+b)] / (b-a-2)^3 (b-a-3) (b-a-4)].$$

This F-type distribution is identical to the standard central F distribution with  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  degrees of freedom if one imposes the restriction

$$K = k_2 / k_1$$

and uses the relations

$$a = (k_1 - 2) / 2$$

$$b = (k_1 + k_2) / 2.$$

However, without the restriction on K the F-type distribution has three parameters: a, b, and K.

Setting the first three moments of the distribution of  $U^{(p)}$  and the F-type distribution equal and solving for a, b, and K yields

$$a = (2\mu_1\mu_2^3 + 3\mu_1\mu_3^2 - 6\mu_1\mu_2^2 - \mu_2\mu_3) / (\mu_2\mu_3 + 4\mu_1\mu_2^2 - \mu_1\mu_3^2)$$

$$b = [(a+1)(a+3) - \mu_1^2 / \mu_2] / [(a+1) - \mu_1^2 / \mu_2]$$

$$K = \mu_1 (b-a-2) / (a+1)$$

Note that in Pillai and Young (1971) there is a typographical error in the expression for a; the last minus (-) sign in the expression above is erroneously given as a plus (+) sign in that paper.

The first three moments of these distributions do not exist for all possible values of the parameters. The following is a summary of necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the indicated moments:

Distribution			
$U(p)$		F-Type	
Moment	Condition for existence	Moment	Condition for existence
$\mu_1$	$n_2 > p+1$	$\mu_{F1}$	$b-a > 2$
$\mu_2$	$n_2 > p+3$	$\mu_{F2}$	$b-a > 3$
$\mu_3$	$n_2 > p+5$	$\mu_{F3}$	$b-a > 4$

In each of these cases it is assumed the mapping (2) has been performed if  $n_1 < p$  and the statements and expressions apply to the transformed parameters.



If the combination of parameters is such that one of the third-order moments ( $\mu_3$  or  $\mu_{F3}$ ) does not exist, one can obtain a two-moment approximation by equating the first two moments of the two distributions. The following expressions for  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $K$  will generate a two-moment approximation:

$$K = p$$

$$a = [ \mu_2 ( \mu_1 - p ) + \mu_1^2 ( \mu_1 + p ) ] / ( p \mu_2 )$$

$$b = [ \mu_1 ( \mu_1 + p )^2 + \mu_1 \mu_2 + 2 p \mu_2 ] / ( p \mu_2 )$$

Similarly, a one-moment approximation is generated by the following expressions:

$$K = p$$

$$a = p ( 2 m + p + 1 ) / 2 - 1$$

$$b = p ( 2 m + 2 n + p + 1 ) / 2 + 1.$$

Operational procedure. The algorithm first checks the input parameter values to determine whether they have proper values (e.g.,  $T \geq 0$ , etc.). The algorithm then checks for the special case  $n_1 < p$ ; if so, the mapping (2) is performed. The upper limit,  $T$ , is converted to a  $U^{(p)}$ :  $U = T/n_2$ . The algorithm next checks for one of the special cases  $p = 1$  or  $p = 2$ . If a special case applies, the exact cdf is evaluated. If neither of the special cases apply, the algorithm computes  $m$  and  $n$  (defined above) and determines whether the third moments of both distributions exist. If both third moments exist the three-moment approximation is used. Otherwise a two-moment approximation is attempted. If one of the second-order moments does not exist, a one-moment approximation

is attempted. If one of the first-order moments does not exist the algorithm sets the failure indicator (IFFAULT) and returns control to the calling program. If the three-, two-, or one-moment approximation is used, the algorithm uses the incomplete beta function subprogram to evaluate:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CDF} &= I_w(a+1, b-a-1) \\ &\cong \text{Pr}[ T_0^2 \leq T ] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w = T/(T+Kn_2) = U/(U + K)$$

and where  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $K$  are evaluated from the expressions of the highest-moment approximation applicable.

## STRUCTURE

SUBROUTINE HOTELL (T, N1, N2, IP, CDF, IFAULT, IAPRX)

Formal Parameters:

T	Real	input:	The algorithm computes $CDF \cong \Pr[T_0^2 \leq T]$
N1	Integer	input:	Number of degrees of freedom of the distribution of $\tilde{H}$ in $T_0^2 = n_2 \text{Tr}(\tilde{H} \tilde{E}^{-1})$
N2	Integer	input:	Number of degrees of freedom of the distribution of $\tilde{E}$ .
IP	Integer	input:	The matrices H and E are IP x IP; IP corresponds to the parameter p in the discussion.
CDF	Real	output:	$CDF \cong \Pr[T_0^2 \leq T]$ .
IFault	Integer	output:	Failure indicator.
IAPRX	Integer	output:	Indicates the type of approximation used.
		IAPRX=-3:	Exact computation for T=0
		IAPRX=-2:	Exact computation for special case p=2
		IAPRX=-1:	Exact computation for special case p=1
		IAPRX=0:	Algorithm failure; approximation technique not applicable
		IAPRX=1:	One-moment approximation used
		IAPRX=2:	Two-moment approximation used
		IAPRX=3:	Three-moment approximation used

Failure Indicators:

- IFAULT = 0 indicates no errors were detected.
- IFAULT = -1 indicates one of the input parameters has an improper value [i.e.,  $T < 0$ ,  $N1 < 1$ ,  $N2 < 1$ ,  $IP < 1$ ]. CDF is returned with a value of -1E75.
- IFAULT = 1 indicates the combination of parameters T, N1, N2, and IP is such that the first moment of the approximating distribution is not finite, i.e., the technique is not applicable. CDF is returned with a value of -1E75 and IAPRX = 0.
- IFAULT = 2 indicates  $W \leq 0$  or  $W \geq 1$  where  $W = T / (T + K * N2)$ , which should never happen, i.e., IFAULT = 2 indicates a programming error. CDF is returned with a value of -1E75.

## RESTRICTIONS

The parameters  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , and  $p$  must satisfy the restrictions given above for the existence of moments for a particular approximation to apply; i.e., the minimal restriction is  $n_2 > p+1$ , which applies to both the original parameters and the transformed parameters if the mapping (2) is invoked. The restrictions shown for  $a$  and  $b$  must also be satisfied, but there is no simple statement of these restrictions in terms of  $n_1$ ,  $n_2$ , and  $p$ . These restrictions guarantee that the algorithm will operate as described but do not guarantee that the approximation used will be accurate. Additional restrictions are described in the section discussing accuracy.

## AUXILLARY ALGORITHMS

The present version of HOTELL uses BETAIN, Algorithm AS 63, "The Incomplete Beta Integral," by Majumder and Bhattacharjée (1973). BETAIN requires the prior computation of the complete beta function,  $B(p,q) = \Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)/\Gamma(p+q)$ . HOTELL assumes the availability of a single precision function subprogram, ALGAMA (X), which evaluates  $\log_e \Gamma(X)$ . Virtually all computer manufacturers provide an efficient, accurate subprogram for  $\log \Gamma(X)$  and such a routine may be easily substituted for ALGAMA in HOTELL. If such a routine is not available the algorithm of Pike and Hill (1960) may be implemented in Fortran under the name ALGAMA.

## ACCURACY

Errors in this approximation have three components: (a) differences between the cdf's of the F-type distribution and the distribution of  $U^{(p)}$ , (b) errors in approximating the incomplete beta function, and (c) calculations performed in the routine.

Pillai and Young (1971) included a comparison of the approximations to the exact distribution for  $n = (n_2 - p - 1)/2 = 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 80,$  and  $100,$  and for  $(p, m) = (p, (n_1 - p - 1)/2) = (3, 0), (3, 3), (4, 0),$  and  $(4, 2).$  They concluded that this approximation "...provides about three significant digits accuracy in the *percentage points* for  $n \geq 10.$  In some cases  $n \geq 5$  is sufficient for this accuracy" and that "...the distribution function for [this approximation] provides a good approximation [about three decimal places] to the exact distribution of  $U^{(p)}$  for  $n \geq 10$  and for the whole range of  $U^{(p)}$ ." Note that the first quote refers to the percentage points, i.e., the inverse of the cdf, and the second quote refers to the cdf itself. (Emphasis added.)

In our tests of this routine we reproduced the relevant results of Table II in Pillai and Young (1971) to the number of digits given there.

Errors of types (b) and (c) above are more within the control of the user of the algorithm. If HOTELL is implemented on an IBM 360, 370, or other computer with a short ( $\leq 24$  bits) single precision floating point mantissa, double precision is absolutely essential for accurate computation, especially for the special case  $p = 2.$  A double precision version is easily produced; one need change only the initial declarations, including the declaration of the arithmetic statement function CBF (complete beta function), the names of the functions EXP, ALGAMA, and ALOG in statement 9, and function BETAIN. In a double precision version of HOTELL (and BETAIN), or a single precision version on machines with long floating point mantissas, the computational errors in

BETAIN and HOTELL will typically be negligible compared to the error of the approximating technique (type (a), above).



## REFERENCES

- Hotelling, H. (1951) A Generalized T-test and Measure of Multivariate Dispersion, Proceedings of the 2nd Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 23-41.
- Johnson, N. L. and S. Kotz (1972). Distributions in Statistics: Continuous Multivariate Distributions. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1972.
- Majumder, K. L. and G. P. Bhattacharjee (1973). Algorithm AS63. The Incomplete Beta Integral. Applied Statistics, 22, 409-411.
- Pike, M.C. and I. D. Hill (1966). Algorithm 291. Logarithm of the Gamma Function. Commun. Ass. Comput. Mach., 9, 684.
- Pillai, K.C.S. (1960). Statistical Tables for Tests of Multivariate Hypotheses. The Statistical Center, University of the Philippines, Manila.
- Pillai, K.C.S. and P. Samson, Jr. (1959). On Hotelling's Generalization of  $T^2$ . Biometrika, 46, 160-165.
- Pillai, K.C.S. and D. L. Young (1971). On the Exact Distribution of Hotelling's Generalized  $T_0^2$ . Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 1, 90-107.
- Rao, C. R. (1965). Linear Statistical Inference and Its Applications. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1965.

## SUBROUTINE HOTELL LISTING

```

C***
C
C SUBROUTINE HOTELL(T,N1,N2,IP,CDF,IFault,IAPRX)
C
C DESCRIPTION AND PURPOSE
C
C   HOTELLING'S GENERALIZED T(0)**2 STATISTIC IS DEFINED AS
C
C   T(0)**2 = N2*TRACE(H**E**-1)
C
C   WHERE H AND E ARE INDEPENDENT P X P MATRICES;
C
C   H HAS A W(P;N1,S,D) DISTRIBUTION AND IS POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE;
C
C   F HAS A W(P;N2,S,0) DISTRIBUTION AND IS POSITIVE DEFINITE;
C
C   AND WHERE W(P;N,S,D) DENOTES THE WISHART DISTRIBUTION FOR P X P
C   MATRICES, WITH N DEGREES OF FREEDOM, COVARIANCE PARAMETER MATRIX
C   S, AND NONCENTRALITY PARAMETER MATRIX D. THIS ALGORITHM COMPUTES
C   AN APPROXIMATION OF THE CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION OF THE
C   CENTRAL DISTRIBUTION (I.E., D=0) OF T(0)**2; THAT IS, THIS
C   ALGORITHM COMPUTES AN APPROXIMATION TO
C
C   CDF = PR(T(0)**2 < T | D=0)
C
C   THE CENTRAL DISTRIBUTION IS ALSO CALLED THE "NULL" DISTRIBUTION,
C   IN PART BECAUSE D IS "NULL" AND IN PART BECAUSE THIS DISTRIBUTION
C   IS USUALLY THE RELEVANT ONE UNDER THE "NULL HYPOTHESIS" OF CERTAIN
C   MULTIVARIATE TESTS.
C
C METHOD
C
C   THE ALGORITHM PRODUCES EXACT RESULTS (TO THE ACCURACY OF THE
C   INCOMPLETE BETA FUNCTION ALGORITHM) IN THE SPECIAL CASES IP=1,
C   IP=2, AND T=0.
C
C   IF NONE OF THE SPECIAL CASES APPLY, THEN THE ALGORITHM
C   IS AN IMPLEMENTATION OF AN APPROXIMATION DEVELOPED BY PILLAI
C   AND YOUNG (1971). THE APPROXIMATION IS BASED UPON SELECTING AN
C   F-TYPE DISTRIBUTION WHICH HAS THE SAME FIRST THREE MOMENTS AS
C   THE DISTRIBUTION OF
C
C   U = (T(0)**2)/N2
C
C   THEN PR(T(0)**2 < T) IS APPROXIMATED BY PR(F < T/N2). AFTER
C   A TRANSFORMATION, PR(F < T/N2) IS EVALUATED AS
C   PR(BETA < W = T/(T+K*N2)) = INCOMPLETE BETA FUNCTION EVALUATED AT
C   W, WHERE BETA HAS THE APPROPRIATE BETA DISTRIBUTION.
C
C   IF THE THIRD MOMENT OF THE F-TYPE DISTRIBUTION DOES NOT EXIST,
C   BUT THE SECOND MOMENT DOES EXIST, THE APPROXIMATION IS BASED ON
C   THE FIRST TWO MOMENTS. LIKEWISE, IF BOTH THE THIRD AND SECOND
C   MOMENTS DO NOT EXIST, BUT THE FIRST MOMENT DOES EXIST, THE
C   APPROXIMATION IS BASED ON THE FIRST MOMENT. IF NONE OF THE
C   THREE MOMENTS EXISTS, THE APPROXIMATION IS NOT APPLICABLE AND
C   THE ALGORITHM DOES NOT ATTEMPT AN APPROXIMATION.
C
C USAGE

```

```

HOTL 0
HOTL 10
HOTL 20
HOTL 30
HOTL 40
HOTL 50
HOTL 60
HOTL 70
HOTL 80
HOTL 90
HOTL 100
HOTL 110
HOTL 120
HOTL 130
HOTL 140
HOTL 150
HOTL 160
HOTL 170
HOTL 180
HOTL 190
HOTL 200
HOTL 210
HOTL 220
HOTL 230
HOTL 240
HOTL 250
HOTL 260
HOTL 270
HOTL 280
HOTL 290
HOTL 300
HOTL 310
HOTL 320
HOTL 330
HOTL 340
HOTL 350
HOTL 360
HOTL 370
HOTL 380
HOTL 390
HOTL 400
HOTL 410
HOTL 420
HOTL 430
HOTL 440
HOTL 450
HOTL 460
HOTL 470
HOTL 480
HOTL 490
HOTL 500
HOTL 510
HOTL 520
HOTL 530
HOTL 540
HOTL 550
HOTL 560
HOTL 570
HOTL 580
HOTL 590
HOTL 600
HOTL 610

```

## SUBROUTINE HOTELL LISTING

```

CALL HOTELL (T,N1,N2,IP,CDF,IFAULT,IAPRX)
HOTL 620
HOTL 630
HOTL 640
HOTL 650
HOTL 660
HOTL 670
HOTL 680
HOTL 690
HOTL 700
HOTL 710
HOTL 720
HOTL 730
HOTL 740
HOTL 750
HOTL 760
HOTL 770
HOTL 780
HOTL 790
HOTL 800
HOTL 810
HOTL 820
HOTL 830
HOTL 840
HOTL 850
HOTL 860
HOTL 870
HOTL 880
HOTL 890
HOTL 900
HOTL 910
HOTL 920
HOTL 930
HOTL 940
HOTL 950
HOTL 960
HOTL 970
HOTL 980
HOTL 990
HOTL1000
HOTL1010
HOTL1020
HOTL1030
HOTL1040
HOTL1050
HOTL1060
HOTL1070
HOTL1080
HOTL1090
HOTL1100
HOTL1110
HOTL1120
HOTL1130
HOTL1140
HOTL1150
HOTL1160
HOTL1170
HOTL1180
HOTL1190
HOTL1200
HOTL1210
HOTL1220
HOTL1230
HOTL1240
HOTL1250
HOTL1260
HOTL1270

DESCRIPTION OF PARAMETERS

T      -REAL INPUT:  THE ALGORITHM COMPUTES
          CDF = PR (T(0)**2 < T)  APPROXIMATELY

N1     -INTEGER INPUT:  NUMBER OF DEGREES OF FREEDOM OF THE
          DISTRIBUTION OF H IN T(0)**2=TR(H*E**-1)

N2     -INTEGER INPUT:  NUMBER OF DEGREES OF FREEDOM OF THE
          DISTRIBUTION OF E

IP     -INTEGER INPUT:  THE MATRICES H AND E ARE IP X IP; IP
          CORRESPONDS TO THE PARAMETER P IN THE
          DISCUSSION

CDF    -REAL OUTPUT:  CDF = PR (T(0)**2 < T)  APPROXIMATELY

IFAULT -INTEGER FAILURE INDICATOR:

          IFAULT=0  INDICATES NO ERRORS WERE DETECTED

          IFAULT=-1 INDICATES ONE OF THE INPUT PARAMETERS
          HAS AN IMPROPER VALUE, (I.E. T<0, N1<1,
          N2<1, IP<1). CDF IS RETURNED WITH A
          VALUE OF -1E75.

          IFAULT=1  INDICATES THE COMBINATION OF PARAMETERS
          T, N1, N2, AND IP IS SUCH THAT THE
          FIRST MOMENT OF THE APPROXIMATING
          DISTRIBUTION IS NOT FINITE, I.E., THE
          TECHNIQUE IS NOT APPLICABLE. CDF IS
          RETURNED WITH A VALUE OF -1E75 AND
          IAPRX=0.

          IFAULT=2  INDICATES W .LE. 0 OF W .GE. 1 WHERE
          W=T/(T+K*N2), WHICH SHOULD NEVER
          HAPPEN, I.E., IFAULT=2 INDICATES A
          PROGRAMMING ERROR. CDF IS RETURNED
          WITH A VALUE OF -1E75.

IAPRX  -INTEGER INDICATOR OF TYPE OF APPROXIMATION USED

          IAPRX=-3  EXACT COMPUTATION FOR T=0

          IAPRX=-2  EXACT COMPUTATION FOR SPECIAL CASE P=2

          IAPRX=-1  EXACT COMPUTATION FOR SPECIAL CASE P=1

          IAPRX=0   ALGORITHM FAILURE; APPROXIMATION
          TECHNIQUE NOT APPLICABLE

          IAPRX=1   ONE-MOMENT APPROXIMATION USED

          IAPRX=2   TWO-MOMENT APPROXIMATION USED

          IAPRX=3   THREE-MOMENT APPROXIMATION USED

SUBROUTINES REQUIRED

```



## SUBROUTINE HOTELL LISTING

```

C
C IF N1 .LE. IP,
C
C (N1,N2,IP) MAPS TO (IP,N1+N2-P,N1)
C
3 IF (N1 .GE. IP) GO TO 4
  TN1 = IP
  TN2 = N1+N2-IP
  TP = N1
  GO TO 5
4 TN1 = N1
  TN2 = N2
  TP = IP
5 U = T/N2
C
C CHECK FOR SPECIAL CASE P = 1
C
C IF (TP .GT. ONE) GO TO 6
  IAPFX = -1
  A1=TN1/TWO
  B1=TN2/TWO
  W=U/(U+ONE)
  GO TO 15
C
C CHECK FOR SPECIAL CASE P=2
C
6 IF (TP .GT. TWO) GO TO 10
  IAPFX=-2
C
C NOTE THAT TN1 .GT. 1 BECAUSE 2 = TP .LE. TN1
C
  A1=TN1-ONE
  W=U/(U+TWO)
  BETA=CBF(A1,TN2)
  TFRM1=BETA*IN(W,A1,TN2,BETA,IFAU)
  IF(IFAU .NE. 0) GO TO 20
  A1=A1/TWO
  A2=(TN2+ONE)/TWO
  TFRM1=W*A1
  BETA=CBF(A1,A2)
  TFRM2=BETA*IN(TFRM1,A1,A2,BETA,IFAU)
  IF(IFAU .NE. 0) GO TO 20
9 TFRM2=TERM2*SQRTPI*EXP(-ALGAMA((TN1+TN2-ONE)/TWO)
  1 -ALGAMA(TN1/TWO) -ALGAMA(TN2/TWO)
  2 +((TN2-ONE)/TWO)*ALOG((ONE-W)/(ONE+W)))
  CDF=TERM1-TFRM2
  GO TO 20
C
C COMPUTE M (IN TM) AND N (IN TN)
C
10 TM = (TN1-TP-ONE)/TWO
  TN = (TN2-TP-ONE)/TWO
C
C IF TN .LE. 0 THE FIRST MOMENT (TMU1) OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF T(0)**2
C DOES NOT EXIST AND THE APPROXIMATION TECHNIQUE IS INVALID.
C
C IF(TN .LE. ZERO) GO TO 13
  TWOTN = TWO*TN
  TADD = TWO*TM+TP+ONE
  TMU1 = TP*TADD/TWOTN
C
C IF TN .LE. 1 THE SECOND MOMENT (TMU2) DOES NOT EXIST
C
C IF(TN .LE. ONE) GO TO 12
  TMU2 = TMU1*(TWOTN+TADD)*(TWOTN+TP)/(TWOTN*(TN-ONE)*(TWOTN+ONE))
  TMU1SQ= TMU1*TMU1

```

```

HOTL1940
HOTL1950
HOTL1960
HOTL1970
HOTL1980
HOTL1990
HOTL2000
HOTL2010
HOTL2020
HOTL2030
HOTL2040
HOTL2050
HOTL2060
HOTL2070
HOTL2080
HOTL2090
HOTL2100
HOTL2110
HOTL2120
HOTL2130
HOTL2140
HOTL2150
HOTL2160
HOTL2170
HOTL2180
HOTL2190
HOTL2200
HOTL2210
HOTL2220
HOTL2230
HOTL2240
HOTL2250
HOTL2260
HOTL2270
HOTL2280
HOTL2290
HOTL2300
HOTL2310
HOTL2320
HOTL2330
HOTL2340
HOTL2350
HOTL2360
HOTL2370
HOTL2380
HOTL2390
HOTL2400
HOTL2410
HOTL2420
HOTL2430
HOTL2440
HOTL2450
HOTL2460
HOTL2470
HOTL2480
HOTL2490
HOTL2500
HOTL2510
HOTL2520
HOTL2530
HOTL2540
HOTL2550
HOTL2560
HOTL2570
HOTL2580
HOTL2590

```

## SUBROUTINE HOTELL LISTING

```

C
C
C IF IN .LE. 2 THE THIRD MOMENT (TMU3) DOES NOT EXIST
C
C   IF (TN .LE. TWO) GO TO 11
C   TMU3 = (TWO*TMU2*(TN+TADD) * (TN+TP)) / (TN*(TN-TWO) * (TN+ONE))
C   TMU2SQ = TMU2*TMU2
C
C COMPUTE A AND B FOR THE THREE-MOMENT APPROXIMATION
C
C   A = (TMU1*(-SIX*TMU2SQ+TMU1*(THREE*TMU3+TWO*TMU1*TMU2)) -TMU2*TMU3)
C   1 / (TMU2*TMU3+FOUR*TMU1*TMU2SQ-TMU1SQ*TMU3)
C   B = ((A+ONE)*(A+THREE) -TMU1SQ/TMU2) / ((A+ONE) -TMU1SQ/TMU2)
C
C CHECK FOR (B-A) .LE. 4
C
C   IF (B-A .LE. FOUR) GO TO 11
C   IAPRX = 3
C   TK = TMU1*(B-A-TWO)/(A+ONE)
C   W=U/(U+TK)
C
C W IS THE UPPER LIMIT FOR USE WITH THE CUMULATIVE DISTRIBUTION
C FUNCTION OF THE BETA(A1,B1) DISTRIBUTION;
C
C   PR(T(0)**2 .LT. T) = PR(BETA .LT. W), APPROXIMATELY
C
C GO TO 14
C
C COMPUTE A AND B FOR THE TWO-MOMENT APPROXIMATION
C
C 11 TEMP1 = TP*TMU2
C   A = (TMU2*(TMU1-TP)+TMU1SQ*(TMU1+TP))/TEMP1
C   B = (TMU1*(TMU1+TP)**2+TMU1*TMU2+TWO*TEMP1)/TEMP1
C
C CHECK FOR B-A .LE. 3
C
C   IF (B-A .LE. THREE) GO TO 12
C   IAPRX = 2
C   W=U/(U+TP)
C   GO TO 14
C
C COMPUTE A AND B FOR THE ONE-MOMENT APPROXIMATION
C
C 12 A = TP*TADD/TWO-ONE
C   B = TP*(TADD+TWO*TN)/TWO+ONE
C
C CHECK FOR B-A .LE. 2
C
C   IF (B-A .LE. TWO) GO TO 13
C   IAPRX = 1
C   W=U/(U+TP)
C   GO TO 14
C
C WHEN B-A .LE. 2 THE APPROXIMATION TECHNIQUE IS NOT APPLICABLE.
C
C 13 IAPRX = 0
C   IFAULT = 1
C   GO TO 20
C 14 A1=A+ONE
C   B1=B-A-ONE
C
C A CHECK IS MADE TO INSURE THAT THE PARAMETERS W, A1, B1, ARE
C WITHIN THE RANGE OF THE INCOMPLETE BETA FUNCTION.
C
C 15 IF (W .LT. ZERO) GO TO 20
C   IF (A1 .LE. ZERO .OR. B1 .LE. ZERO) GO TO 20
C   BETA=CBF(A1,B1)

```

HOTL2600  
HOTL2610  
HOTL2620  
HOTL2630  
HOTL2640  
HOTL2650  
HOTL2660  
HOTL2670  
HOTL2680  
HOTL2690  
HOTL2700  
HOTL2710  
HOTL2720  
HOTL2730  
HOTL2740  
HOTL2750  
HOTL2760  
HOTL2770  
HOTL2780  
HOTL2790  
HOTL2800  
HOTL2810  
HOTL2820  
HOTL2830  
HOTL2840  
HOTL2850  
HOTL2860  
HOTL2870  
HOTL2880  
HOTL2890  
HOTL2900  
HOTL2910  
HOTL2920  
HOTL2930  
HOTL2940  
HOTL2950  
HOTL2960  
HOTL2970  
HOTL2980  
HOTL2990  
HOTL3000  
HOTL3010  
HOTL3020  
HOTL3030  
HOTL3040  
HOTL3050  
HOTL3060  
HOTL3070  
HOTL3080  
HOTL3090  
HOTL3100  
HOTL3110  
HOTL3120  
HOTL3130  
HOTL3140  
HOTL3150  
HOTL3160  
HOTL3170  
HOTL3180  
HOTL3190  
HOTL3200  
HOTL3210  
HOTL3220  
HOTL3230  
HOTL3240  
HOTL3250

## SUBROUTINE HOTELL LISTING

```
CDF=BETAIN(W,A1,B1,BETA,IFault)
IF (IFault .NE. 0) CDF = -1E75
20 RETURN
END
```

```
HOTL3260
HOTL3270
HOTL3280
HOTL3290
```

## SUBROUTINE BETAIN LISTING

```

C***      FUNCTION BETAIN(X,P,Q,BETA,IFAU)
C
C      ALGORITHM AS 63 APPL STATIST. (1973), VOL.22, NO.3
C
C      COMPUTES INCOMPLETE BETA INTEGRAL FOR ARGUMENTS
C      X BETWEEN ZERO AND ONE, P AND Q POSITIVE.
C      COMPLETE BETA FUNCTION IS ASSUMED TO BE KNOWN.
C
C      LOGICAL INDEX
C
C      DEFINE ACCURACY AND INITIALISE
C
C      DATA ACU /0.1E-7/
C      BETAIN = X
C
C      TEST FOR ADMISSIBILITY OF ARGUMENTS
C
C      IFAU = 1
C      IF (P.LE.0.0.OR.Q.LE.0.0) RETURN
C      IFAU = 2
C      IF (X.LT.0.0.OR.X.GT.1.0) RETURN
C      IFAU = 0
C      IF (X.EQ.0.0.OP.X.EQ.1.0) RETURN
C
C      CHANGE TAIL IF NECESSARY AND DETERMINE S
C
C      PSQ = P + Q
C      CX = 1.0 - X
C      IF (P.GE.PSQ*X) GOTO 1
C      XX = CX
C      CX = X
C      PP = Q
C      QQ = P
C      INDEX = .TRUE.
C      GOTO 2
1  XX = X
C      PP = P
C      QQ = Q
C      INDEX = .FALSE.
2  TEFM = 1.0
C      AI = 1.0
C      BETAIN = 1.0
C      NS = QQ + CX * PSQ
C
C      USE SOPHER-S REDUCTION FORMULAE.
C
C      RX = XX/CX
3  TEMP = QQ - AI
C      IF (NS.EQ.0) RX = XX
4  TEFM = TEFM * TEMP * RX / (PP + AI)
C      BETAIN = BETAIN + TEFM
C      TEMP = ABS(TEFM)
C      IF (TEMP.LE.ACQ.AND.TEMP.LE.ACQ*BETAIN) GOTO 5
C      AI = AI + 1.0
C      NS = NS - 1
C      IF (NS.GE.0) GOTO 3
C      TEFM = PSQ
C      PSQ = PSQ + 1.0
C      GOTO 4
C
C      CALCULATE RESULT

```

```

BETA  0
BETA  10
BETA  20
BETA  30
BETA  40
BETA  50
BETA  60
BETA  70
BETA  80
BETA  90
BETA 100
BETA 110
BETA 120
BETA 130
BETA 140
BETA 150
BETA 160
BETA 170
BETA 180
BETA 190
BETA 200
BETA 210
BETA 220
BETA 230
BETA 240
BETA 250
BETA 260
BETA 270
BETA 280
BETA 290
BETA 300
BETA 310
BETA 320
BETA 330
BETA 340
BETA 350
BETA 360
BETA 370
BETA 380
BETA 390
BETA 400
BETA 410
BETA 420
BETA 430
BETA 440
BETA 450
BETA 460
BETA 470
BETA 480
BETA 490
BETA 500
BETA 510
BETA 520
BETA 530
BETA 540
BETA 550
BETA 560
BETA 570
BETA 580
BETA 590
BETA 600
BETA 610
BETA 620

```



## SUBROUTINE BETAIN LISTING

```
5 BETAIN = BETAIN * EXP((P*ALOG (XX) + (Q-1.0)*ALOG (CX)) / (P*BETA)) BETA 630
IF (INDEX) BETAIN = 1.0 - BETAIN BETA 640
RETURN BETA 650
END BETA 660
```

THE FOLLOWING RESULTS OBTAINED FROM SUBROUTINE HOTELL AGREE WITH THOSE OF TABLE II IN PILLAI AND YOUNG (1971)

N1	N2	P	T/N2	CDF
4	14	3	2.5064	.949997
4	14	3	3.6951	.990000
4	24	3	1.1562	.949993
4	24	3	1.5623	.990000
4	34	3	.74777	.950001
4	34	3	.98252	.990000
4	44	3	.55207	.950001
4	44	3	.71559	.990000
4	64	3	.36218	.949999
4	64	3	.46326	.990000
4	84	3	.26943	.949997
4	84	3	.34239	.990000
4	104	3	.21449	.950002
4	104	3	.27151	.989998
4	124	3	.17815	.949996
4	124	3	.22494	.989999
4	164	3	.13306	.949992
4	164	3	.16748	.990001
4	204	3	.10619	.950009
4	204	3	.13340	.990002
10	14	3	5.4723	.949998
10	14	3	7.6114	.990000
10	24	3	2.4700	.950005
10	24	3	3.1258	.990000
10	34	3	1.5845	.950006
10	34	3	.19465	.989999
10	44	3	1.1649	.950006
10	44	3	1.4107	.990003
10	64	3	.76090	.949997
10	64	3	.90866	.990000
10	84	3	.56480	.950003
10	84	3	.66987	.990000
10	104	3	.44901	.949997
10	104	3	.53039	.990000
10	124	3	.37261	.950000
10	124	3	.43896	.990000
10	164	3	.27799	.950004
10	164	3	.32640	.990001
10	204	3	.22168	.949991
10	204	3	.25977	.989998
5	15	4	3.8146	.950001
5	15	4	5.3980	.990000
5	25	4	1.7419	.950004
5	25	4	2.2532	.990001
5	35	4	1.1230	.950008
5	35	4	1.4127	.989999
5	45	4	.82786	.949999
5	45	4	1.0277	.990003
5	65	4	.54237	.950001
5	65	4	.66464	.990000

N1	N2	P	T/N2	CDF
5	85	4	.40321	.949996
5	85	4	.49103	.990000
5	105	4	.32087	.950002
5	105	4	.38930	.989999
5	125	4	.26645	.950005
5	125	4	.32248	.989999
5	165	4	.19895	.949998
5	165	4	.24007	.990001
5	205	4	.15874	.950005
5	205	4	.19120	.990001
9	15	4	6.3433	.950001
9	15	4	8.6636	.990000
9	25	4	2.8631	.950001
9	25	4	3.5626	.990001
9	35	4	1.8384	.950004
9	35	4	2.2236	.990002
9	45	4	1.3525	.949994
9	45	4	1.6140	.990002
9	65	4	.88428	.949999
9	65	4	1.0416	.990001
9	85	4	.65673	.950001
9	85	4	.76869	.990000
9	105	4	.52228	.949997
9	105	4	.60905	.990000
9	125	4	.43352	.950002
9	125	4	.50430	.990001
9	165	4	.32353	.949997
9	165	4	.37521	.990000
9	205	4	.25806	.950006
9	205	4	.29874	.990002